

## Lecture 2: Product measure, measurable function and distribution

Product space

$\mathcal{I} = \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $k$  is finite or  $\infty$

$\Gamma_i$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , are sets

$\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \Gamma_i = \Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_k = \{(a_1, \dots, a_k) : a_i \in \Gamma_i, i \in \mathcal{I}\}$

$\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^2$ ,  $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^3$

Let  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i)$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , be measurable spaces

$\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F}_i$  is not necessarily a  $\sigma$ -field

$\sigma(\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F}_i)$  is called the *product  $\sigma$ -field* on the *product space*  $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \Omega_i$

$(\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \Omega_i, \sigma(\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F}_i))$  is denoted by  $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} (\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i)$

Example:  $\prod_{i=1, \dots, k} (\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{B}) = (\mathcal{R}^k, \mathcal{B}^k)$

Product measure

Consider a rectangle  $[a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \subset \mathcal{R}^2$ . The usual area of  $[a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$  is

$$(b_1 - a_1)(b_2 - a_2) = m([a_1, b_1])m([a_2, b_2])$$

Is  $m([a_1, b_1])m([a_2, b_2])$  the same as the value of a measure defined on the product  $\sigma$ -field?

A measure  $\nu$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  is said to be  *$\sigma$ -finite* if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$  such that  $\cup A_i = \Omega$  and  $\nu(A_i) < \infty$  for all  $i$

Any finite measure (such as a probability measure) is clearly  $\sigma$ -finite

The Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, since  $\mathcal{R} = \cup A_n$  with  $A_n = (-n, n)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

The counting measure in is  $\sigma$ -finite if and only if  $\Omega$  is countable

**Proposition 1.3** (Product measure theorem). Let  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \nu_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , be measure spaces with  $\sigma$ -finite measures, where  $k \geq 2$  is an integer. Then there exists a unique  $\sigma$ -finite measure on the product  $\sigma$ -field  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_k)$ , called the *product measure* and denoted by  $\nu_1 \times \dots \times \nu_k$ , such that

$$\nu_1 \times \dots \times \nu_k(A_1 \times \dots \times A_k) = \nu_1(A_1) \dots \nu_k(A_k)$$

for all  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

Let  $P$  be a probability measure on  $(\mathcal{R}^k, \mathcal{B}^k)$ . The c.d.f. (or *joint* c.d.f.) of  $P$  is defined by

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_k) = P((-\infty, x_1] \times \dots \times (-\infty, x_k]), \quad x_i \in \mathcal{R}$$

There is a one-to-one correspondence between probability measures and joint c.d.f.'s on  $\mathcal{R}^k$

If  $F(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is a joint c.d.f., then

$$F_i(x) = \lim_{x_j \rightarrow \infty, j=1, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, k} F(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k)$$

is a c.d.f. and is called the  *$i$ th marginal* c.d.f.

Marginal c.d.f.'s are determined by their joint c.d.f.

But a joint c.d.f. cannot be determined by  $k$  marginal c.d.f.'s.

If

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_k) = F_1(x_1) \cdots F_k(x_k), \quad (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{R}^k,$$

then the probability measure corresponding to  $F$  is the product measure  $P_1 \times \cdots \times P_k$  with  $P_i$  being the probability measure corresponding to  $F_i$

Measurable function

$f$ : a function from  $\Omega$  to  $\Lambda$  (often  $\Lambda = \mathcal{R}^k$ )

Inverse image of  $B \subset \Lambda$  under  $f$ :

$$f^{-1}(B) = \{f \in B\} = \{\omega \in \Omega : f(\omega) \in B\}.$$

The inverse function  $f^{-1}$  need not exist for  $f^{-1}(B)$  to be defined.

$f^{-1}(B^c) = (f^{-1}(B))^c$  for any  $B \subset \Lambda$ ;

$f^{-1}(\cup B_i) = \cup f^{-1}(B_i)$  for any  $B_i \subset \Lambda$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a collection of subsets of  $\Lambda$ . Define  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) = \{f^{-1}(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and  $(\Lambda, \mathcal{G})$  be measurable spaces and  $f$  a function from  $\Omega$  to  $\Lambda$ . The function  $f$  is called a *measurable function* from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  to  $(\Lambda, \mathcal{G})$  if and only if  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{G}) \subset \mathcal{F}$ .

If  $f$  is measurable from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  to  $(\Lambda, \mathcal{G})$ , then  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{G})$  is a sub- $\sigma$ -field of  $\mathcal{F}$  (verify). It is called the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $f$  and is denoted by  $\sigma(f)$ .

If  $f$  is measurable from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  to  $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{B})$ , it is called a Borel function or a random variable  
A random vector  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is measurable from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  to  $(\mathcal{R}^n, \mathcal{B}^n)$  (each  $X_i$  is a random variable)

Examples

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is the collection of all subsets of  $\Omega$ , then any function  $f$  is measurable

Indicator function for  $A \subset \Omega$ :

$$I_A(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \in A \\ 0 & \omega \notin A. \end{cases}$$

For any  $B \subset \mathcal{R}$ ,

$$I_A^{-1}(B) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & 0 \notin B, 1 \notin B \\ A & 0 \notin B, 1 \in B \\ A^c & 0 \in B, 1 \notin B \\ \Omega & 0 \in B, 1 \in B. \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\sigma(I_A) = \{\emptyset, A, A^c, \Omega\}$  and  $I_A$  is Borel iff  $A \in \mathcal{F}$

$\sigma(f)$  is much simpler than  $\mathcal{F}$

Simple function

$$\varphi(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i I_{A_i}(\omega),$$

where  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  are measurable sets on  $\Omega$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  are real numbers. Let  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  be a partition of  $\Omega$ , i.e.,  $A_i$ 's are disjoint and  $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k = \Omega$ . Then the simple function  $\varphi$  with distinct  $a_i$ 's exactly characterizes this partition and  $\sigma(\varphi) = \sigma(\{A_1, \dots, A_k\})$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space.

- (i)  $f$  is Borel if and only if  $f^{-1}(a, \infty) \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ .
- (ii) If  $f$  and  $g$  are Borel, then so are  $fg$  and  $af + bg$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are real numbers; also,  $f/g$  is Borel provided  $g(\omega) \neq 0$  for any  $\omega \in \Omega$ .
- (iii) If  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  are Borel, then so are  $\sup_n f_n$ ,  $\inf_n f_n$ ,  $\limsup_n f_n$ , and  $\liminf_n f_n$ . Furthermore, the set

$$A = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\omega) \text{ exists} \right\}$$

is an event and the function

$$h(\omega) = \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\omega) & \omega \in A \\ f_1(\omega) & \omega \notin A \end{cases}$$

is Borel.

- (iv) Suppose that  $f$  is measurable from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  to  $(\Lambda, \mathcal{G})$  and  $g$  is measurable from  $(\Lambda, \mathcal{G})$  to  $(\Delta, \mathcal{H})$ . Then the composite function  $g \circ f$  is measurable from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  to  $(\Delta, \mathcal{H})$ .
- (v) Let  $\Omega$  be a Borel set in  $\mathcal{R}^p$ . If  $f$  is a continuous function from  $\Omega$  to  $\mathcal{R}^q$ , then  $f$  is measurable.

Distribution (law)

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$  be a measure space and  $f$  be a measurable function from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  to  $(\Lambda, \mathcal{G})$ . The *induced measure* by  $f$ , denoted by  $\nu \circ f^{-1}$ , is a measure on  $\mathcal{G}$  defined as

$$\nu \circ f^{-1}(B) = \nu(f \in B) = \nu(f^{-1}(B)), \quad B \in \mathcal{G}$$

If  $\nu = P$  is a probability measure and  $X$  is a random variable or a random vector, then  $P \circ X^{-1}$  is called the *law* or the *distribution* of  $X$  and is denoted by  $P_X$ .

The c.d.f. of  $P_X$  is also called the c.d.f. or joint c.d.f. of  $X$  and is denoted by  $F_X$ .

Examples 1.3 and 1.4