## Lecture 21: Complete statistics

A statistic V(X) is ancillary if its distribution does not depend on the population PV(X) is first-order ancillary if E[V(X)] is independent of P.

A trivial ancillary statistic is the constant statistic  $V(X) \equiv c \in \mathcal{R}$ .

If V(X) is a nontrivial ancillary statistic, then  $\sigma(V(X)) \subset \sigma(X)$  is a nontrivial  $\sigma$ -field that does not contain any information about P.

Hence, if S(X) is a statistic and V(S(X)) is a nontrivial ancillary statistic, it indicates that  $\sigma(S(X))$  contains a nontrivial  $\sigma$ -field that does not contain any information about P and, hence, the "data" S(X) may be further reduced.

A sufficient statistic T appears to be most successful in reducing the data if no nonconstant function of T is ancillary or even first-order ancillary.

**Definition 2.6** (Completeness). A statistic T(X) is said to be *complete* for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  if and only if, for any Borel f, E[f(T)] = 0 for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  implies f = 0 a.s.  $\mathcal{P}$ . T is said to be *boundedly complete* if and only if the previous statement holds for any bounded Borel f.

A complete statistic is boundedly complete.

If T is complete (or boundedly complete) and  $S = \psi(T)$  for a measurable  $\psi$ , then S is complete (or boundedly complete).

Intuitively, a complete and sufficient statistic should be minimal sufficient (Exercise 48).

A minimal sufficient statistic is not necessarily complete; for example, the minimal sufficient statistic  $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  in Example 2.13 is not complete (Exercise 47).

Finding a complete and sufficient statistic

**Proposition 2.1.** If P is in an exponential family of full rank with p.d.f.'s given by

$$f_{\eta}(x) = \exp\{\eta^{\tau} T(x) - \zeta(\eta)\}h(x),$$

then T(X) is complete and sufficient for  $\eta \in \Xi$ .

**Proof.** We have shown that T is sufficient. Suppose that there is a function f such that E[f(T)] = 0 for all  $\eta \in \Xi$ . By Theorem 2.1(i),

$$\int f(t) \exp\{\eta^{\tau} t - \zeta(\eta)\} d\lambda = 0 \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \Xi,$$

where  $\lambda$  is a measure on  $(\mathcal{R}^p, \mathcal{B}^p)$ . Let  $\eta_0$  be an interior point of  $\Xi$ . Then

$$\int f_{+}(t)e^{\eta^{\tau}t}d\lambda = \int f_{-}(t)e^{\eta^{\tau}t}d\lambda \quad \text{for all } \eta \in N(\eta_{0}),$$
(1)

where  $N(\eta_0) = \{\eta \in \mathcal{R}^p : ||\eta - \eta_0|| < \epsilon\}$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . In particular,

$$\int f_+(t)e^{\eta_0^{\tau}t}d\lambda = \int f_-(t)e^{\eta_0^{\tau}t}d\lambda = c.$$

If c = 0, then f = 0 a.e.  $\lambda$ . If c > 0, then  $c^{-1}f_+(t)e^{\eta_0^{\tau}t}$  and  $c^{-1}f_-(t)e^{\eta_0^{\tau}t}$  are p.d.f.'s w.r.t.  $\lambda$  and (1) implies that their m.g.f.'s are the same in a neighborhood of 0. By Theorem 1.6(ii),  $c^{-1}f_+(t)e^{\eta_0^{\tau}t} = c^{-1}f_-(t)e^{\eta_0^{\tau}t}$ , i.e.,  $f = f_+ - f_- = 0$  a.e.  $\lambda$ . Hence T is complete.

**Example 2.15.** Suppose that  $X_1, ..., X_n$  are i.i.d. random variables having the  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distribution,  $\mu \in \mathcal{R}, \sigma > 0$ . From Example 2.6, the joint p.d.f. of  $X_1, ..., X_n$  is

$$(2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ \eta_1 T_1 + \eta_2 T_2 - n\zeta(\eta) \right\}$$

where  $T_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $T_2 = -\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ , and  $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2) = \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\right)$ . Hence, the family of distributions for  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$  is a natural exponential family of full rank ( $\Xi = \mathcal{R} \times (0, \infty)$ ). By Proposition 2.1,  $T(X) = (T_1, T_2)$  is complete and sufficient for  $\eta$ . Since there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\eta$  and  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$ , T is also complete and sufficient for  $\theta$ . It can be shown that any one-to-one measurable function of a complete and sufficient statistic is also complete and sufficient (exercise). Thus,  $(\bar{X}, S^2)$  is complete and sufficient for  $\theta$ , where  $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$  are the sample mean and sample variance, respectively.

**Example 2.16.** Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  be i.i.d. random variables from  $P_{\theta}$ , the uniform distribution  $U(0, \theta), \theta > 0$ . The largest order statistic,  $X_{(n)}$ , is complete and sufficient for  $\theta \in (0, \infty)$ . The sufficiency of  $X_{(n)}$  follows from the fact that the joint Lebesgue p.d.f. of  $X_1, ..., X_n$  is  $\theta^{-n}I_{(0,\theta)}(x_{(n)})$ . From Example 2.9,  $X_{(n)}$  has the Lebesgue p.d.f.  $(nx^{n-1}/\theta^n)I_{(0,\theta)}(x)$  on  $\mathcal{R}$ . Let f be a Borel function on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $E[f(X_{(n)})] = 0$  for all  $\theta > 0$ . Then

$$\int_0^\theta f(x)x^{n-1}dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } \theta > 0.$$

Let  $G(\theta)$  be the left-hand side of the previous equation. Applying the result of differentiation of an integral (see, e.g., Royden (1968, §5.3)), we obtain that  $G'(\theta) = f(\theta)\theta^{n-1}$  a.e.  $m_+$ , where  $m_+$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $([0, \infty), \mathcal{B}_{[0,\infty)})$ . Since  $G(\theta) = 0$  for all  $\theta > 0$ ,  $f(\theta)\theta^{n-1} = 0$ a.e.  $m_+$  and, hence, f(x) = 0 a.e.  $m_+$ . Therefore,  $X_{(n)}$  is complete and sufficient for  $\theta \in (0, \infty)$ .

**Example 2.17.** In Example 2.12, we showed that the order statistics  $T(X) = (X_{(1)}, ..., X_{(n)})$  of i.i.d. random variables  $X_1, ..., X_n$  is sufficient for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the family of distributions on  $\mathcal{R}$  having Lebesgue p.d.f.'s. We now show that T(X) is also complete for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_0$  be the family of Lebesgue p.d.f.'s of the form

$$f(x) = C(\theta_1, ..., \theta_n) \exp\{-x^{2n} + \theta_1 x + \theta_2 x^2 + \dots + \theta_n x^n\},\$$

where  $\theta_j \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $C(\theta_1, ..., \theta_n)$  is a normalizing constant such that  $\int f(x)dx = 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is an exponential family of full rank. Note that the joint distribution of  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$  is also in an exponential family of full rank. Thus, by Proposition 2.1,  $U = (U_1, ..., U_n)$  is a complete statistic for  $P \in \mathcal{P}_0$ , where  $U_j = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^j$ . Since a.s.  $\mathcal{P}_0$ implies a.s.  $\mathcal{P}, U(X)$  is also complete for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .

The result follows if we can show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between T(X)and U(X). Let  $V_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $V_2 = \sum_{i < j} X_i X_j$ ,  $V_3 = \sum_{i < j < k} X_i X_j X_k$ ,...,  $V_n = X_1 \cdots X_n$ . From the identities

$$U_k - V_1 U_{k-1} + V_2 U_{k-2} - \dots + (-1)^{k-1} V_{k-1} U_1 + (-1)^k k V_k = 0,$$

k = 1, ..., n, there is a one-to-one correspondence between U(X) and  $V(X) = (V_1, ..., V_n)$ . From the identity

$$(t - X_1) \cdots (t - X_n) = t^n - V_1 t^{n-1} + V_2 t^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n V_n,$$

there is a one-to-one correspondence between V(X) and T(X). This completes the proof and, hence, T(X) is sufficient and complete for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . In fact, both U(X) and V(X) are sufficient and complete for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .

The relationship between an ancillary statistic and a complete and sufficient statistic is characterized in the following result.

**Theorem 2.4** (Basu's theorem). Let V and T be two statistics of X from a population  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . If V is ancillary and T is boundedly complete and sufficient for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , then V and T are independent w.r.t. any  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .

**Proof.** Let B be an event on the range of V. Since V is ancillary,  $P(V^{-1}(B))$  is a constant. Since T is sufficient,  $E[I_B(V)|T]$  is a function of T (independent of P). Since

$$E\{E[I_B(V)|T] - P(V^{-1}(B))\} = 0 \text{ for all } P \in \mathcal{P},$$

 $P(V^{-1}(B)|T) = E[I_B(V)|T] = P(V^{-1}(B))$  a.s.  $\mathcal{P}$ , by the bounded completeness of T. Let A be an event on the range of T. Then,

$$P(T^{-1}(A) \cap V^{-1}(B)) = E\{E[I_A(T)I_B(V)|T]\} = E\{I_A(T)E[I_B(V)|T]\}$$
$$= E\{I_A(T)P(V^{-1}(B))\} = P(T^{-1}(A))P(V^{-1}(B)).$$

Hence T and V are independent w.r.t. any  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .

Basu's theorem is useful in proving the independence of two statistics.

**Example 2.18.** Suppose that  $X_1, ..., X_n$  are i.i.d. random variables having the  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distribution, with  $\mu \in \mathcal{R}$  and a known  $\sigma > 0$ . It can be easily shown that the family  $\{N(\mu, \sigma^2) : \mu \in \mathcal{R}\}$  is an exponential family of full rank with natural parameter  $\eta = \mu/\sigma^2$ . By Proposition 2.1, the sample mean  $\bar{X}$  is complete and sufficient for  $\eta$  (and  $\mu$ ). Let  $S^2$  be the sample variance. Since  $S^2 = (n-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i - \bar{Z})^2$ , where  $Z_i = X_i - \mu$  is  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  and  $\bar{Z} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$ ,  $S^2$  is an ancillary statistic ( $\sigma^2$  is known). By Basu's theorem,  $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$  are independent w.r.t.  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with  $\mu \in \mathcal{R}$ . Since  $\sigma^2$  is arbitrary,  $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$  are independent w.r.t.  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ .

Using the independence of  $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$ , we now show that  $(n-1)S^2/\sigma^2$  has the chi-square distribution  $\chi^2_{n-1}$ . Note that

$$n\left(\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2 + \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{X_i-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2$$

From the properties of the normal distributions,  $n(\bar{X}-\mu)^2/\sigma^2$  has the chi-square distribution  $\chi_1^2$  with the m.g.f.  $(1-2t)^{-1/2}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2/\sigma^2$  has the chi-square distribution  $\chi_n^2$  with

the m.g.f.  $(1-2t)^{-n/2}$ , t < 1/2. By the independence of  $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$ , the m.g.f. of  $(n-1)S^2/\sigma^2$  is

$$(1-2t)^{-n/2}/(1-2t)^{-1/2} = (1-2t)^{-(n-1)/2}$$

for t < 1/2. This is the m.g.f. of the chi-square distribution  $\chi^2_{n-1}$  and, therefore, the result follows.