

(1 point for easily legible writing on this cover sheet.)

NetID: \_\_\_\_\_ (mine is `jgillett` from `jgillett@wisc.edu`)

Last name: \_\_\_\_\_ First name: \_\_\_\_\_

First name and last name: \_\_\_\_\_ (I am asking for these separately above and together here to use with optical character recognition software.)

Mark your lecture with “X”:

\_\_\_\_\_ TuTh 1:00-2:15

STAT 451 Midterm Exam Instructions:

1. Please sit in columns with two empty seats separating columns.
2. Do not open the exam until I say “go.”
3. Put away everything except a pencil or pen, a calculator, and your one-page (two sides) notes sheet.
4. Show your work. Correct answers without at least a minimal version of the work normally required may receive no credit.
5. The exam ends when I call time. If you continue writing after I call time, you risk a penalty. (The alternative, that you get more time than your peers, is unfair.)
6. If a question is ambiguous, resolve the ambiguity in writing. We will consider grading accordingly. e.g.
  - I think “average” refers to the population mean  $\mu$  (not the sample mean  $\bar{X}$ ).
  - I think “linear regression” refers to OLS, not ridge or lasso.

Question	Points	Earned
Q0 (cover)	1	
Q1	10	
Q2	5	
Q3	15	
Q4	15	
Q5	10	
Q6	12	
Q7	10	
Q8	22	
Total	100	

1. Consider a logistic regression model with  $\mathbf{w} = (1, 2)$  and  $b = 0$ .

(a) Use the model to estimate probability that  $y$  is 1, given that  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $(-3, 1)$ .

ANSWER:

$$\hat{P}(y = 1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\mathbf{w}\mathbf{x}+b)}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-((1,2)\cdot(-3,1)+0)}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^1} \approx 0.269.$$

(b) In calculating the coefficients for a logistic regression model, why do we minimize negative log likelihood instead of maximizing likelihood? Mark each statement as a true or false reason by circling the appropriate choice.

- TRUE / FALSE **FALSE** A product of probabilities can overflow in fixed-precision computer arithmetic.
- TRUE / FALSE **TRUE** A product of probabilities can underflow in fixed-precision computer arithmetic.
- TRUE / FALSE **TRUE** The natural log of a product is naturally expressed as a sum, and differentiating a sum is easier than differentiating a product.
- TRUE / FALSE **TRUE** The natural log is strictly increasing, so maximizing the likelihood is the same as minimizing the negative log likelihood.
- TRUE / FALSE **FALSE** Using the natural log facilitates finding a closed-form expression for the coefficients in terms of the data.

2. Consider applying gradient descent with step size  $\alpha = 0.1$  to find the  $\mathbf{x}$  that minimizes the function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = f(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) = (x^{(1)} + 1)^2 + (x^{(2)} - 2)^2$  starting from  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (1, 2)$ . Find the value  $\mathbf{x}_1$  after one iteration.

ANSWER:

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = (2(x^{(1)} + 1), 2(x^{(2)} - 2)), \text{ which is } (4, 0) \text{ at } \mathbf{x} = (1, 2).$$

$$\text{Move to } \mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_0 - \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0) = (1, 2) - 0.1(4, 0) = (0.6, 2).$$

3. Suppose we have a soft-margin SVM for which  $\mathbf{w} = (-6, 3)$  and  $b = 5$ . Consider the example  $(\mathbf{x} = (2, 1), y = -1)$ .

(a) How does the SVM classify  $\mathbf{x}$ ?

ANSWER:

$$\mathbf{w}\mathbf{x} + b = (-6, 3) \cdot (2, 1) + 5 = -4 < 0, \text{ so } \hat{y} = -1.$$

(b) Does  $(\mathbf{x}, y)$  satisfy the SVM constraint? (Answer Yes or No.)

ANSWER:

$$\text{Yes. It satisfies the constraint } \iff y(\mathbf{w}\mathbf{x} + b) \geq 1 \iff (-1)((-6, 3) \cdot (2, 1) + 5) \geq 1 \iff 14 \geq 1, \text{ which is true.}$$

(c) What is the hinge loss associated with  $(\mathbf{x}, y)$ ?

ANSWER:

It satisfies the constraint, so the hinge loss is 0.

$$\text{Or, ignoring the constraint, the hinge loss is } \max(0, 1 - y(\mathbf{w}\mathbf{x} + b)) = \max(0, 1 - (-1)((-6, 3) \cdot (2, 1) + 5)) = \max(0, -3) = 0.$$

4. Here are some questions about decision trees.

- (a) Consider a classification decision tree node containing the set of examples  $S = \{(\mathbf{x}, y)\}$  where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ :

$$S$$

$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$y$
2	11	12	0
1	6	14	0
0	8	17	1
3	10	15	0
4	7	16	1
5	9	13	0

- i. The entropy of this node in bits is \_\_\_\_\_.

ANSWER:

The node's  $y$  values are 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, so  $f_{ID3}(S) = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in S} y = \frac{1}{6}(0 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0) = \frac{1}{3}$ .

$$H(S) = \frac{1}{3}(-\log_2(\frac{1}{3})) - \frac{2}{3}(-\log_2(\frac{2}{3})) \approx -\frac{1}{3}(-1.585) - \frac{2}{3}(-0.585) \approx 0.918$$

- ii. The (feature, threshold) pair  $(j, t)$  that yields the best split for this node is feature  $j =$  \_\_\_\_\_ and threshold  $t =$  \_\_\_\_\_.

ANSWER:

Using feature  $j = 3$  and threshold  $t = 15.5$  (or any  $t \in [15, 16)$ ) splits  $S$  into  $S_- = \{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in S | x^{(j)} \leq t\} = \{0, 0, 0, 0\}$  and its complement  $S_+ = \{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in S | x^{(j)} > t\} = \{1, 1\}$ , each of which has entropy 0.

- (b) Consider a regression decision tree with `max_depth=1` (that is, the root node is split once into two leaves) made from the set of examples  $S = \{(\mathbf{x}, y)\}$  where  $\mathbf{x} = x_1$ :

$$S$$

$x_1$	$y$
0	10
1	11
2	12
3	13
4	23
5	24

What value does this tree predict for  $x_1 = 4.5$ ? \_\_\_\_\_

ANSWER: 12.5

The best split uses feature  $j = 1$  and threshold  $t = 3.5$ , yielding a left subtree containing the first four examples and a right subtree containing the last two examples. Making a prediction with  $x_1 = 4.5$  would use the right subtree. Its average  $y$  is 23.5, so the tree would predict  $\hat{y} = 23.5$ .

5. Here are questions about feature engineering.

(a) Consider the data 0, 5, 5, 5, 5, which have these summary statistics:

- minimum 0
- mean 4
- median 5
- maximum 5
- (population) standard deviation 2

Do standardization rescaling on feature  $\mathbf{x}$ :

(input) $\mathbf{x}$	(output) $\mathbf{x\_rescaled}$
0	ANSWER: $-2$
5	ANSWER: $\frac{1}{2}$
5	ANSWER: $\frac{1}{2}$
5	ANSWER: $\frac{1}{2}$
5	ANSWER: $\frac{1}{2}$

Or, if we mistakenly use the sample standard deviation  $s = \sqrt{5} \approx 2.24$  instead of the population standard deviation  $\sigma = 2$ , we get this answer (which should receive only a tiny grading penalty, like 0.25 point):

$\mathbf{x}$	$\mathbf{x\_rescaled}$
0	-1.79
5	0.45
5	0.45
5	0.45
5	0.45

- (b) Use one-hot encoding to transform the categorical feature `activity` into binary features with reasonable names.

(input) <code>activity</code>	(output)
swim	
bike	
run	
bike	

ANSWER:

(input) <code>activity</code>	(output)		
	<code>swim</code>	<code>bike</code>	<code>run</code>
swim	1	0	0
bike	0	1	0
run	0	0	1
bike	0	1	0

6. Consider 3-NN (three nearest neighbors) with the negative cosine similarity distance.

(a) Find the distance from  $\mathbf{z} = (3, 4)$  to each of the other points  $\mathbf{x}$ :

$\mathbf{x}$	$y$	Distance from $\mathbf{z}$ to $\mathbf{x}$
$(-4, 3)$	1	ANSWER: 0
$(0, 1)$	0	ANSWER: -0.8
$(1, 0)$	0	ANSWER: -0.6
$(6, 8)$	1	ANSWER: -1

Hint: Notice the “negative” in “negative cosine similarity.”

(b) How does 3-NN classify  $\mathbf{z}$ ?

ANSWER: 0

(c) What  $y$  value does 3-NN regression predict for  $\mathbf{z}$ ?

ANSWER:  $\hat{y} = \frac{1}{3}(0 + 0 + 1) = \frac{1}{3}$

7. In linear regression we minimize the *mean squared error* (MSE).

(a) Find the MSE for the points  $(0, 0)$  and  $(1, 2)$  relative to the line  $\hat{y} = f_{\mathbf{w}, b}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}\mathbf{x} + b$ , where  $\mathbf{w} = 2$  and  $b = 3$ .

ANSWER:

$$\begin{aligned}
 MSE &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [f_{\mathbf{w}, b}(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i]^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 [(2x_i + 3) - y_i]^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( [(2 \cdot 0 + 3) - 0]^2 + [(2 \cdot 1 + 3) - 2]^2 \right) \\
 &= 9
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) For the best-fitting line for these data,  $\mathbf{w} = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$  and  $b = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ .

ANSWER:

Since there are only two points, the best line goes through the two points (without regard for the regression machinery). It has slope  $\mathbf{w} = 2$  and intercept  $b = 0$ .

8. Mark each statement true or false by circling the appropriate choice.

- (a) TRUE / FALSE A support vector machine on 2D  $\mathbf{x}$  with decision boundary  $(3, 4) \cdot \mathbf{x} + 5 = 0$  has a smaller margin between  $+1$  and  $-1$  support vectors than one with boundary  $(5, 12) \cdot \mathbf{x} + 13 = 0$ .

ANSWER: FALSE.

The margin for the first SVM is  $\frac{2}{\|\mathbf{w}\|} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3^2+4^2}} = \frac{2}{5} = 0.4$ , while the margin for the second is  $\frac{2}{\|\mathbf{w}\|} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5^2+12^2}} = \frac{2}{13} \approx 0.15$ .

- (b) TRUE / FALSE Logistic regression sends an example  $\mathbf{x}$  through a linear function to get a real number, which it sends through an exponential function to get a positive number, which it sends through a logistic function to predict a probability between 0 and 1. (Then it optionally compares that probability to a threshold to predict  $\hat{y} = 0$  or  $\hat{y} = 1$ .)

ANSWER: FALSE. The logistic function itself includes the exponential:  $\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-t}}$ . There is no other exponential function involved.

- (c) TRUE / FALSE The linear regression model is not sensitive to the signs of labels  $\{y_i\}$  because it minimizes mean *squared* error.

ANSWER: FALSE.

- (d) TRUE / FALSE A decision tree node containing the examples  $\{(\mathbf{x}, y)\} = \{(1, 2), 0\}, \{(3, 4), 1\}, \{(5, 6), 0\}$  has lower entropy than the one containing the examples  $\{(7, 8), 0\}, \{(9, 10), 1\}$ .

ANSWER: TRUE.

The first has entropy  $-\frac{1}{3} \log_2 \frac{1}{3} - (1 - \frac{1}{3}) \log_2 (1 - \frac{1}{3}) \approx 0.92$ , while the second has entropy 1.

- (e) TRUE / FALSE Weighted  $k$ NN evaluates a new example  $\mathbf{x}$  using  $\mathbf{x}$ 's  $k$  nearest neighbors weighted with weights proportional to the corresponding distances to  $\mathbf{x}$ .

ANSWER: FALSE. The weights are inversely proportion to the distances.

- (f) TRUE / FALSE If we train an SVM on linearly separable data, then discard all support vectors, and then train a new SVM on the remaining examples, the first SVM will have a larger margin between  $+1$  and  $-1$  examples than the second.

ANSWER: FALSE. The first will have a smaller margin than the second (unless there are no  $+1$  or  $-1$  examples remaining, in which case there is no second SVM).

- (g) TRUE / FALSE The hinge loss function of a soft-margin SVM gives a nonzero value for any  $\mathbf{x}$  such that  $\mathbf{w}\mathbf{x} + b \geq 0$ .

ANSWER: FALSE.

It is zero when  $y(\mathbf{w}\mathbf{x} + b) \geq 1$ , so with  $y = 1$ , it is zero when  $\mathbf{w}\mathbf{x} + b \geq 1$ .

- (h) TRUE / FALSE If stochastic gradient descent (SGD) and gradient descent (GD) are both run in the same amount of time on a well-behaved function of some parameters over a large  $N$  of training examples each having a small  $D$  of features, SGD can use more iterations with a smaller learning rate  $\alpha$  than GD.

ANSWER: TRUE

(i) TRUE / FALSE  $1 + 1 = 2$

ANSWER: TRUE

(j) TRUE / FALSE Ridge regression tends to set most coefficients to zero.

ANSWER: FALSE

(Lasso regression tends to set most coefficients to zero.)

(k) TRUE / FALSE Training data are used to set parameters, validation data are used to choose hyperparameter settings and/or models, and test data are used to evaluate the chosen model.

ANSWER: TRUE