Bayesian Phylogenetics

Bret Larget

Departments of Botany and of Statistics University of Wisconsin—Madison

October 1, 2009

Genetics 629 (Fall 2009)

Bayesian Phylogenetics

October 6, 2009 1 / 13

▲□ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ● ■ ● ● ● ●

< □ ▶

Who was Bayes?

- The Reverand Thomas Bayes was born in London in 1702.
- He was the son of one of the first Noncomformist ministers to be ordained in England.
- He became a Presbyterian minister in the late 1720s, but was well known for his studies of mathematics.
- He was elected a Fellow of the Royal Society of London in 1742.
- He died in 1761 before his works were published.

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

• Bayes' Theorem explains how to calculate inverse probabilities.

- For example, suppose that Box *B*₁ contains four balls, three of which are black and one of which is white.
- Box B₂ has four balls, two of which are black and two of which are white.
- Box *B*₃ has four balls, one of which is black and three of which are white.

- If a ball is chosen *uniformly at random* from Box B_1 , there is a 3/4 chance that it is black.
- But if a black ball is drawn, how likely is it that it came from Box B_1 ?

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- Bayes' Theorem explains how to calculate inverse probabilities.
- For example, suppose that Box B₁ contains four balls, three of which are black and one of which is white.
- Box *B*₂ has four balls, two of which are black and two of which are white.
- Box *B*₃ has four balls, one of which is black and three of which are white.







▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲필▶ ▲필▶ _ 필 _

- If a ball is chosen *uniformly at random* from Box B_1 , there is a 3/4 chance that it is black.
- But if a black ball is drawn, how likely is it that it came from Box B_1 ?

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

- Bayes' Theorem explains how to calculate inverse probabilities.
- For example, suppose that Box B₁ contains four balls, three of which are black and one of which is white.
- Box B₂ has four balls, two of which are black and two of which are white.
- Box *B*₃ has four balls, one of which is black and three of which are white.







▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲필▶ ▲필▶ _ 필 _

- If a ball is chosen *uniformly at random* from Box B_1 , there is a 3/4 chance that it is black.
- But if a black ball is drawn, how likely is it that it came from Box B_1 ?

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$

- Bayes' Theorem explains how to calculate inverse probabilities.
- For example, suppose that Box B₁ contains four balls, three of which are black and one of which is white.
- Box B₂ has four balls, two of which are black and two of which are white.
- Box *B*₃ has four balls, one of which is black and three of which are white.







▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲필▶ ▲필▶ _ 필 _

- If a ball is chosen *uniformly at random* from Box B_1 , there is a 3/4 chance that it is black.
- But if a black ball is drawn, how likely is it that it came from Box B_1 ?

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$



- If a black ball is drawn, how likely is it that it came from Box B_1 ?
- To answer this question, we need to have prespecified probabilities of which box we pick to draw the ball from.
- The answer will be different if we believe a priori that Box B_1 is 10% likely to be the chosen box than if we believe that all three boxes are equally likely.
- Do the problem with a probability tree.

SQ (?



- If a black ball is drawn, how likely is it that it came from Box B_1 ?
- To answer this question, we need to have prespecified probabilities of which box we pick to draw the ball from.
- The answer will be different if we believe a priori that Box B_1 is 10% likely to be the chosen box than if we believe that all three boxes are equally likely.
- Do the problem with a probability tree.



- If a black ball is drawn, how likely is it that it came from Box B_1 ?
- To answer this question, we need to have prespecified probabilities of which box we pick to draw the ball from.
- The answer will be different if we believe *a priori* that Box B_1 is 10% likely to be the chosen box than if we believe that all three boxes are equally likely.
- Do the problem with a probability tree.

▲ □ → ▲ □ → ▲ □ → □ =

Bayes' Theorem

 Bayes' Theorem states that if a complete list of mutually exclusive events B₁, B₂,... have prior probabilities P(B₁), P(B₂),..., and if the *likelihood* of the event A given event B_i is P(A | B_i) for each i, then

$$\mathsf{P}(B_i \mid A) = \frac{\mathsf{P}(A \mid B_i)\mathsf{P}(B_i)}{\sum_j \mathsf{P}(A \mid B_j)\mathsf{P}(B_j)}$$

• The *posterior probability* of B_i given A, written $P(B_i | A)$, is proportional to the product of the *likelihood* $P(A | B_i)$ and the *prior probability* $P(B_i)$ where the normalizing constant $P(A) = \sum_j P(A | B_j) P(B_j)$ is the prior probability of A.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ●

Bayes' Theorem

 Bayes' Theorem states that if a complete list of mutually exclusive events B₁, B₂,... have prior probabilities P(B₁), P(B₂),..., and if the *likelihood* of the event A given event B_i is P(A | B_i) for each i, then

$$\mathsf{P}(B_i | A) = \frac{\mathsf{P}(A | B_i)\mathsf{P}(B_i)}{\sum_j \mathsf{P}(A | B_j)\mathsf{P}(B_j)}$$

• The *posterior probability* of B_i given A, written $P(B_i | A)$, is proportional to the product of the *likelihood* $P(A | B_i)$ and the *prior probability* $P(B_i)$ where the normalizing constant $P(A) = \sum_j P(A | B_j)P(B_j)$ is the prior probability of A.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ●

Connection to Phylogeny

- In a Bayesian approach to phylogenetics, the boxes are like different tree topologies, only one of which is right.
- The colored balls are like site patterns, except that there are many more than two varieties and we are able to observe multiple independent draws from each box.
- Things are further complicated in that additional parameters such as branch lengths and likelihood model parameters affect the likelihood, but are also unknown.

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

Connection to Phylogeny

- In a Bayesian approach to phylogenetics, the boxes are like different tree topologies, only one of which is right.
- The colored balls are like site patterns, except that there are many more than two varieties and we are able to observe multiple independent draws from each box.
- Things are further complicated in that additional parameters such as branch lengths and likelihood model parameters affect the likelihood, but are also unknown.

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Connection to Phylogeny

- In a Bayesian approach to phylogenetics, the boxes are like different tree topologies, only one of which is right.
- The colored balls are like site patterns, except that there are many more than two varieties and we are able to observe multiple independent draws from each box.
- Things are further complicated in that additional parameters such as branch lengths and likelihood model parameters affect the likelihood, but are also unknown.

|▲□ ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ クタゆ

Prior and Posterior Distributions

- A *prior distribution* is a probability distribution on parameters *before* any data is observed.
- A *posterior distribution* is a probability distribution on parameters *after* data is observed.

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$

▲□ ▶ ▲ 토 ▶ ▲ 토 ▶ 토

Prior and Posterior Distributions

- A *prior distribution* is a probability distribution on parameters *before* any data is observed.
- A *posterior distribution* is a probability distribution on parameters *after* data is observed.

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

▲ 급 ▶ < 급 ▶ · · E

Bayesian Methods vs. Maximum Likelihood

	Maximum Likelihood	Bayesian
Probability	Only defined	Describes everything
	in the context	that is uncertain
	of long-run	
	relative frequencies	
Parameters	Fixed and Unknown	Random
Nuisance	Optimize them	Average over them
Parameters		
Testing	p-values	Bayes' factors
Nature of	Objective	Subjective
Method		

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ● のへで

- Let's say we want to find the posterior probability of a clade.
- Then we are interested in computing

$$P(\text{clade} | \text{data}) = \sum_{\text{tree with clade}} P(\text{tree} | \text{data})$$
$$= \sum_{\text{tree with clade}} \frac{P(\text{data} | \text{tree})P(\text{tree})}{P(\text{data})}$$

 But we need to know the parameters including branch lengths (params) to compute the likelihood.

$$\sum$$
 P(data | tree)P(tree)

tree with clade

$$\sum_{\text{tree with clade}} \int \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{data},\mathsf{params} | \mathsf{tree}) \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{tree}) \mathrm{d}\mathsf{params}$$

$$= \sum_{\text{tree with clade}} P(\text{tree}) \int P(\text{data} | \text{params}, \text{tree}) P(\text{params} | \text{tree}) d\text{params}$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ = のへで

- Let's say we want to find the posterior probability of a clade.
- Then we are interested in computing

$$P(\text{clade} | \text{data}) = \sum_{\text{tree with clade}} P(\text{tree} | \text{data})$$
$$= \sum_{\text{tree with clade}} \frac{P(\text{data} | \text{tree})P(\text{tree})}{P(\text{data})}$$

 But we need to know the parameters including branch lengths (params) to compute the likelihood.

tree with clade

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{data},\mathsf{params} | \mathsf{tree}) \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{tree}) d\mathsf{params}$$

tree with clade $^{\prime\prime}$

 $= \sum_{\text{tree with clade}} P(\text{tree}) \int P(\text{data} | \text{params}, \text{tree}) P(\text{params} | \text{tree}) d\text{params}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへの

- Let's say we want to find the posterior probability of a clade.
- Then we are interested in computing

$$P(\text{clade} | \text{data}) = \sum_{\text{tree with clade}} P(\text{tree} | \text{data})$$
$$= \sum_{\text{tree with clade}} \frac{P(\text{data} | \text{tree})P(\text{tree})}{P(\text{data})}$$

 But we need to know the parameters including branch lengths (params) to compute the likelihood.

$$\sum P(data | tree) P(tree)$$

0

tree with clade

$$= \sum_{\text{tree with clade}} \int P(\text{data, params} | \text{tree}) P(\text{tree}) \text{dparams}$$
$$= \sum_{\text{tree with clade}} P(\text{tree}) \int P(\text{data} | \text{params}, \text{tree}) P(\text{params} | \text{tree}) \text{dparams}$$

• So, we need to compute:

 $\frac{\sum_{tree with clade} P(tree) \int P(data \mid params, tree) P(params \mid tree) dparams}{P(data)}$

- However, P(data) is generally not computable.
- Solution? Markov chain Monte Carlo.

• So, we need to compute:

 $\frac{\sum_{tree \ with \ clade} \mathsf{P}(tree) \int \mathsf{P}(data \ | \ params, tree) \mathsf{P}(params \ | \ tree) \mathrm{d} params}{\mathsf{P}(data)}$

• However, P(data) is generally not computable.

• Solution? Markov chain Monte Carlo.

• So, we need to compute:

 $\frac{\sum_{tree \ with \ clade} \mathsf{P}(tree) \int \mathsf{P}(data \ | \ params, tree) \mathsf{P}(params \ | \ tree) \mathrm{d} params}{\mathsf{P}(data)}$

- However, P(data) is generally not computable.
- Solution? Markov chain Monte Carlo.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ ミ ▶ ◆ ミ ● ● ● ● ● ●

Metropolis-Hastings Example

- Assume a Jukes-Cantor likelihood model for two species where we observe 50 sites, 9 of which differ.
- The likelihood for the distance d is

$$L(d) = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{50} \times \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4}e^{-\frac{4}{3}d}\right)^9 \times \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{4}e^{-\frac{4}{3}d}\right)^{41}$$

• Assume a prior for *d* with the form

$$p(d)=rac{\lambda}{(1+\lambda d)^2},\quad d>0$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter.

- This density is what you get if you take the ratio of two independent exponential random variables, one with parameter λ and one with parameter 1.
- The median is $1/\lambda$, but the mean is $+\infty$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ ▲□ ♪ ♀○

Example

• An exact expression for the posterior density of d is

$$p(d \mid x) = \frac{\left(\frac{\lambda}{(1+\lambda d)^2}\right) \left(\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{50} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4}e^{-\frac{4}{3}d}\right)^9 \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{4}e^{-\frac{4}{3}d}\right)^{41}\right)}{\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\lambda}{(1+\lambda d)^2}\right) \left(\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{50} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4}e^{-\frac{4}{3}d}\right)^9 \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{4}e^{-\frac{4}{3}d}\right)^{41}\right) dd}$$

October 6, 2009 12 / 13

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 の へ ()

Example

Graph



d

October 6, 2009 13 / 13

- E

590

<ロ> < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <