# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Lecture 4: UMVUE and unbiased estimators of 0

# Problems of approach 1.

Approach 1 has the following two main shortcomings.

- Even if Theorem 7.3.9 or its extension is applicable, there is no guarantee that the bound is sharp, i.e., there may be a UMVUE but it still cannot achieve the Cramér-Rao lower bound.
- The conditions for Theorem 7.3.9 is somewhat strong.

# Example 7.3.13 (a case where Theorem 7.3.9 is not applicable)

Let  $X_1,...,X_n$  be iid with from *uniform*(0,  $\theta$ ), where  $\theta > 0$  is unknown. The pdf of  $X_i$  is  $f_\theta(x_i) = \theta^{-1} I(0 < x_i < \theta)$ . Since  $P_{\theta}$  ( $0 < X_i < \theta$ ) = 1, we can focus on  $0 < x_i < \theta$ :

$$
\log f_{\theta}(x_i) = -\log \theta, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_{\theta}(x_i) = -\frac{1}{\theta}, \qquad 0 < x_i < \theta
$$

Then

$$
E_{\theta}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\log f_{\theta}(X_i)\right]^2=\frac{1}{\theta^2}
$$

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Consider the estimation of  $g(\theta) = \theta$ ,  $g'(\theta) = 1$ . According to Theorem 7.3.9 (if it holds), for any unbiased estimator  $T(X)$  of  $\theta$ , we should have

$$
\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(T) \ge \frac{[g'(\theta)]^2}{nE_{\theta} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_{\theta}(X_1)\right]^2} = \frac{\theta^2}{n}
$$

Let  $X_{(n)}$  be the largest order statistic. From the result in Chapter 5, the pdf of *X*(*n*) is

$$
\frac{ny^{n-1}}{\theta^n}, \qquad 0 < y < \theta
$$

Thus,

$$
E_{\theta}(X_{(n)}) = \int_0^{\theta} \frac{ny^n}{\theta^n} dy = \frac{n}{\theta^n} \frac{y^{n+1}}{n+1} \bigg|_0^{\theta} = \frac{n\theta}{n+1}
$$

showing that  $\frac{n+1}{n}X_{(n)}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta.$ 

$$
E_{\theta}(X_{(n)}^2) = \int_0^{\theta} \frac{ny^{n+1}}{\theta^n} dy = \frac{n}{\theta^n} \frac{y^{n+2}}{n+2} \bigg|_0^{\theta} = \frac{n\theta^2}{n+2}
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Then

$$
\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}X_{(n)}\right) = \frac{(n+1)^2}{n^2}\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(X_{(n)})
$$

$$
= \frac{(n+1)^2}{n^2}\left[E_{\theta}(X_{(n)}^2) - \{E_{\theta}(X_{(n)})\}^2\right]
$$

$$
= \frac{(n+1)^2}{n^2}\left[\frac{n\theta^2}{n+2} - \left(\frac{n\theta}{n+1}\right)^2\right]
$$

$$
= \frac{\theta^2}{n(n+2)} < \frac{\theta^2}{n}
$$

Hence, Theorem 7.3.9 does not apply. What is wrong? Note that the key condition for Theorem 7.3.9 is that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E_{\theta}(T) = \int_{\mathscr{X}} T(x) \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta} dx \qquad \theta \in \Theta
$$

The right hand side is

$$
\int_0^\theta \cdots \int_0^\theta T(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{1}{\theta^n} \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_n = -\frac{n}{\theta^{n+1}} \int_0^\theta \cdots \int_0^\theta T(x) dx_1 \cdots dx_n
$$

UW-Madison (Statistics) [Stat 610 Lecture 4](#page-0-0) 2016 3/16

<span id="page-3-0"></span>The left hand side is

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left[\frac{1}{\theta^n}\int_0^{\theta}\cdots\int_0^{\theta}T(x)dx_1\cdots dx_n\right]=\frac{T(\theta,\ldots,\theta)}{\theta^n}-\frac{n}{\theta^{n+1}}\int_0^{\theta}\cdots\int_0^{\theta}T(x)dx_1\cdots dx_n
$$

which is not the same as the right hand side unless  $T(\theta, ..., \theta) = 0$  for all θ.

The problem is the support of  $f_{\theta}(x)$ ,  $\{x : f_{\theta}(x) > 0\}$ , depends on  $\theta$ . When this occurs, Theorem 7.3.9 is typically not applicable.

### Approach 2: using unbiased estimators of 0

To see when an unbiased estimator is best unbiased, we might ask how could we improve upon a given unbiased estimator?

Suppose that  $T(X)$  is unbiased for  $g(\theta)$  and  $U(X)$  is a statistic satisfying  $E_{\theta}(U) = 0$  for all  $\theta$ , i.e., *U* is unbiased for 0.

Then, for any constant *a*,

 $T(X) + aU(X)$ 

is unbiased for  $g(\theta)$ .

Can it be better than *T*(*X*)?

 $Var_{\theta}(\mathcal{T} + aU) = Var_{\theta}(\mathcal{T}) + 2aCov_{\theta}(\mathcal{T}, U) + a^2Var_{\theta}(U)$ 

If for some  $\theta_0$ ,  ${\rm Cov}_{\theta_0}(T,U)$   $<$  0, then we can make

$$
2a\mathrm{Cov}_{\theta_0}(\mathcal{T},U)+a^2\mathrm{Var}_{\theta_0}(U)<0
$$

by choosing  $0 < a - 2{\rm Cov}_{\theta_0}(\mathcal T,U)/{\rm Var}_{\theta_0}(U).$ 

Hence,  $T(X) + aU(X)$  is better than  $T(X)$  at least when  $\theta = \theta_0$  and *T*(*X*) cannot be UMVUE.

Similarly, if  $\text{Cov}_{\theta_0}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{U}) > 0$  for some  $\theta_0,$  then  $\mathcal{T}(X)$  cannot be UMVUE either.

Thus,  $Cov_{\theta}(T, U) = 0$  is necessary for  $T(X)$  to be a UMVUE, for all unbiased estimators of 0.

It turns out that  $Cov_{\theta}(T, U) = 0$  for all  $U(X)$  unbiased for 0 is also sufficient for  $T(X)$  being a UMVUE.

#### Theorem 7.3.20.

beamer-tu-logo An unbiased estimator  $T(X)$  of  $g(\theta)$  is UMVUE iff  $T(X)$  is uncorrelated with all unbiased estimators of 0.

UW-Madison (Statistics) and Statistics State 610 Lecture 4 2016 5/16 2016 5/16

## <span id="page-5-0"></span>Proof.

We have shown the necessity (the only if part). We now show the sufficiency (the if part). Let  $W(X)$  be another unbiased estimator of  $q(\theta)$ . Then  $W(X) - T(X)$  is unbiased for 0 and

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\text{Var}_{\theta}(W) & = & \text{Var}_{\theta}(T + (W - T)) \\
& = & \text{Var}_{\theta}(T) + \text{Var}_{\theta}(W - T) + 2\text{Cov}_{\theta}(T, W - T) \\
& = & \text{Var}_{\theta}(T) + \text{Var}_{\theta}(W - T) \\
& \geq & \text{Var}_{\theta}(T)\n\end{array}
$$

The result follows since *W* is arbitrary.

An unbiased estimator of 0 is a first-order ancillary statistic and can be treated as a random noise.

It makes sense that the most sensible way to estimate 0 is with 0, not with a random noise.

Theorem 7.3.20 says that an estimator is correlated with a random  $\blacksquare$ noise, then it can be improved.

UW-Madison (Statistics) and Statistics State 610 Lecture 4 2016 6/16

<span id="page-6-0"></span>The following two useful results are consequence of Theorem 7.3.20.

# **Corollary**

Let  $T_i$  be a UMVUE of  $g_i(\theta)$ ,  $j = 1, ..., m$ , where m is a fixed positive integer.

Then  $\mathcal{T} = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \, \mathcal{T}_j$  is a UMVUE of  $g(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j g_j(\theta)$  for any constants *c*1,...,*cm*.

# Proof.

Let *U*(*X*) be any unbiased estimator of 0.

First, the unbiasedness of  $T_j$ 's imply that  $\mathcal T$  is unbiased for  $g(\theta)$ :

$$
E_{\theta}(T) = E_{\theta}\left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j T_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^m E_{\theta}(T_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j g_j(\theta) = g(\theta)
$$

 $\mathsf{By}$  Theorem 7.3.20,  $\mathsf{Cov}_{\theta}(T_j, U)$   $=$  0 for all  $j$   $=$  1, ...,  $m$ , and  $\theta$   $\in$   $\Theta.$ 

$$
\mathrm{Cov}_\theta({\mathit{T}}, {\mathit{U}}) = \mathrm{Cov}_\theta \left( \sum_{j=1}^m c_j T_j, {\mathit{U}} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \mathrm{Cov}_\theta({\mathit{T}}_j, {\mathit{U}}) = 0
$$

Again, by Theorem 7.3.20, this shows that *T* i[s a](#page-5-0) [U](#page-7-0)[M](#page-5-0)[V](#page-6-0)[UE](#page-0-0) [o](#page-15-0)[f](#page-0-0) *[g](#page-0-0)*[\(](#page-15-0)[θ](#page-15-0)[\)](#page-0-0)[.](#page-15-0)

#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>Theorem 7.3.19.

If  $T(X)$  is a UMVUE of  $g(\theta)$ , then  $T(X)$  is unique in the sense that if  $T_1(X)$  is another UMVUE of  $q(\theta)$ , then

 $P_{\theta}(T(X) = T_1(X)) = 1$  for any  $\theta \in \Theta$ 

#### Proof.

Let  $T(X)$  and  $T_1(X)$  be both UMVUE of  $g(\theta)$ . Since both  $T(X)$  and  $T_1(X)$  are unbiased,  $T(X) - T_1(X)$  is an unbiased estimator of 0.

Since both  $T$  and  $T_1$  are UMVUE, by Theorem 7.3.20,

$$
Cov_{\theta}(T, T - T_1) = 0, \qquad Cov_{\theta}(T_1, T - T_1) = 0 \qquad \theta \in \Theta
$$

Then

 $Hence,$ 

$$
E_{\theta}(T - T_1)^2 = E_{\theta}[(T - T_1)(T - T_1)]
$$
  
=  $E_{\theta}[T(T - T_1)] - E_{\theta}[T_1(T - T_1)]$   
=  $Cov_{\theta}(T, T - T_1) - Cov_{\theta}(T_1, T - T_1)$   
= 0  
 $P_{\theta}(T(X) = T_1(X)) = 1$  for any  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Although Theorem 7.3.20 provides an interesting characterization of best unbiased estimators and two useful results, its usefulness of checking whether a particular unbiased estimator *T* is a UMVUE is limited since it asks to check whether *T* is uncorrelated with all unbiased estimator of 0.

However, Theorem 7.3.20 is sometimes useful in determining that an estimator is not best unbiased or showing that no UMVUE exists.

### Example 7.3.21.

Let X be an observation from *uniform* $(\theta, \theta + 1)$ ,  $\theta \in \mathcal{R}$ .

We want to show that there is no UMVUE of  $q(\theta)$  for any nonconstant differentiable function *g*.

Note that an unbiased estimator  $U(X)$  of 0 must satisfy

$$
\int_{\theta}^{\theta+1} U(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \mathcal{R}
$$

beamer-tu-logo Differentiating both sizes of the previous equation,  $U(\theta) = U(\theta + 1)$ ,  $\theta \in \mathscr{R},$  $\theta \in \mathscr{R},$  $\theta \in \mathscr{R},$  i.e.,  $\qquad \qquad U(x) = U(x+1), \qquad x \in \mathscr{R}$ 

$$
U(x) = U(x+1), \qquad x \in \mathcal{R}
$$

<span id="page-9-0"></span>If *T* is a UMVUE of  $g(\theta)$ , then  $T(X)U(X)$  is unbiased for 0 and, hence,

$$
T(x)U(x) = T(x+1)U(x+1), \qquad x \in \mathcal{R}
$$

where  $U(X)$  is any unbiased estimator of 0. Since this is true for all *U*,

$$
T(x) = T(x+1), \qquad x \in \mathcal{R}
$$

Since *T* is unbiased for  $g(\theta)$ ,

$$
g(\theta) = \int_{\theta}^{\theta+1} T(x) dx \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \mathcal{R}
$$

Differentiating both sides of the previous equation we obtain that

$$
g'(\theta) = T(\theta + 1) - T(\theta) = 0, \qquad \theta \in \mathcal{R}
$$

Thus, *g* is a constant function.

We consider more for  $q(\theta) = \theta$ . Since  $E_\theta(X) = \theta + \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $X-\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  is unbiased for  $\theta$ , and its variance is  $\frac{1}{12}$ . One unbiased estimator of 0 is  $U = \sin(2\pi X)$ , i.e.,

$$
E_{\theta}(U) = \int_{\theta}^{\theta+1} \sin(2\pi x) dx = 0 \qquad \theta \in \mathcal{R}
$$

UW-Madison (Statistics) and Statistics [Stat 610 Lecture 4](#page-0-0) 2016 10 / 16

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
Cov_{\theta}(X - \frac{1}{2}, U) = Cov_{\theta}(X, sin(2\pi X)) = E_{\theta}[X sin(2\pi X)]
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{\theta}^{\theta + 1} x sin(2\pi x) dx
$$
  
= 
$$
-\frac{x cos(2\pi x)}{2\pi} \Big|_{\theta}^{\theta + 1} + \int_{\theta}^{\theta + 1} \frac{cos(2\pi x)}{2\pi} dx
$$
  
= 
$$
-\frac{cos(2\pi \theta)}{2\pi}
$$

Hence  $X-\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  is correlated with an unbiased estimator of 0, and cannot be a UMVUE of  $\theta$ .

In fact,  $X - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sin(2\pi X)}{2\pi}$  $\frac{(2\pi X)}{2\pi}$  is unbiased for  $\theta$  and has variance 0.071  $<\frac{1}{12}$ .

#### Sufficient statistics

If there is a sufficient statistic *T*, then the Rao-Blackwell theorem says that *E*(*W*|*T*) is a better unbiased estimator than an unbiased estimator *W*.

The following is a Rao-Blackwell theorem for unbiased estimators, a  $\Box$ special case of what we have shown in Chapt[er](#page-9-0) [6.](#page-11-0)

UW-Madison (Statistics) and [Stat 610 Lecture 4](#page-0-0) 2016 11/16

#### <span id="page-11-0"></span>Theorem 7.3.17.

Let *W* be any unbiased estimator of  $g(\theta)$  and *T* be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . Define  $\psi(T) = E(W|T)$ , which does not depend on  $\theta$  since T is sufficient. Then  $\psi(T)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $q(\theta)$  and  $Var_{\theta}(T) \leq Var_{\theta}(W)$ .

Thus, conditioning any unbiased estimator on a sufficient statistic will result in a uniform improvement.

This and the next result indicate that we need consider only estimators that are functions of a sufficient statistic in our search for the UMVUE.

### An alternative to Theorem 7.3.20.

Suppose that  $T$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . An unbiased estimator  $\psi(T)$  of  $g(\theta)$  is a UMVUE iff Cov<sub> $\theta$ </sub> ( $\psi(T)$ ,  $h(T)$ ) = 0 for any  $\theta \in \Theta$  and any *h*(*T*) that is an unbiased estimator of 0.

#### Proof.

The "only if" part follows from the "only if" part of Theorem 7.3.20.

We now prove the "if" part.

Suppose that  $Cov_{\theta}(\psi(T), h(T)) = 0$  for any  $\theta \in \Theta$  and any  $h(T)$  that is an unbiased estimator of 0.

For any unbiased estimator of 0 *U* and any θ,

 $Cov_{\theta}(\psi(T), U) = E_{\theta}[\psi(T)U] = E_{\theta} \{E[\psi(T)U|T]\} = E_{\theta} \{\psi(T)E(U|T)\} = 0$ 

since  $E(U|T)$  is a function of T and  $E_{\theta}[E(U|T)] = E_{\theta}(U) = 0$ .

If we have another sufficient statistic *S*, should we consider *E*[*E*(*W*|*T*)|*S*]?

If there is a function *h* such that  $S = h(T)$ , then by the properties of conditional expectation,

 $E[E(W|T)|S] = E(W|S) = E[E(W|S)|T]$ 

beamer-tu-logo That is, we should always conditioning on a simpler sufficient statistic, such as a minimal sufficient statistic or a complete sufficient statistic. If we do have a sufficient and complete statistic *T*, then by the completeness, 0 is essentially the only unbiased estimator of 0 that is a function of *T*.

Thus, by the alternative to Theorem 7.3.20, we have actually proved the following result useful for finding the UMVUE.

# Theorem 7.3.23 (Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem)

Let *T* be a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . If  $\psi(T)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $g(\theta)$ , then it is the unique UMVUE.

By Example 7.3.21, Theorem 7.3.23 does not hold if *T* is only minimal sufficient, since X is minimal sufficient for  $\theta$  in Example 7.3.21. This is because functions of minimal sufficient statistic can be first-order ancillary and can still be useful.

## Example 7.3.13.

Let  $X_1,...,X_n$  be iid from *uniform*(0,  $\theta$ ) with unknown  $\theta > 0$ . Consider the estimation of  $\theta$ .

Previously we show that approach 1 is not applicable in this example. Since  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{X}_{(n)}$  is the sufficient and complete statistic for  $\theta$  and

$$
E(X_{(n)})=n\theta/(n+1)
$$

The UMVUE of  $\theta$  is  $(1+n^{-1})X_{(n)}$ .

<span id="page-14-0"></span>Suppose now that  $\Theta = [1, \infty)$ .

Then  $X_{(n)}$  is not complete, although it is still sufficient for  $\theta.$ 

Thus, Theorem 7.3.23 does not apply to  $X_{(n)}.$ 

We now illustrate how to use the alternative Theorem 7.3.20 to find a UMVUE of  $\theta$ .

Let  $U(X_{(n)})$  be an unbiased estimator of 0.

Since  $X_{(n)}$  has pdf  $n\theta^{-n}x^{n-1}I_{(0,\theta)}(x)$ ,

$$
0=\int_0^1 U(x)x^{n-1}dx+\int_1^{\theta} U(x)x^{n-1}dx \text{ for all } \theta\geq 1.
$$

This implies that  $U(x) = 0$  on [1,∞) and

$$
\int_0^1 U(x)x^{n-1} dx = 0.
$$

Consider  $T = h(X_{(n)})$ . To have  $E(TU) = 0$ , we must have

$$
\int_0^1 h(x) U(x) x^{n-1} dx = 0.
$$

Thus, we may consider the following function:

$$
h(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} c & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ bx & x > 1, \end{array} \right.
$$

<span id="page-15-0"></span>where *c* and *b* are some constants. From the previous discussion,

$$
E[h(X_{(n)})U(X_{(n)})]=0, \qquad \theta\geq 1.
$$

Since  $E[h(X_{(n)})]=\theta,$  we obtain that

$$
\theta = cP(X_{(n)} \le 1) + bE[X_{(n)}l_{(1,\infty)}(X_{(n)})]
$$
  
=  $c\theta^{-n} + [bn/(n+1)](\theta - \theta^{-n}).$ 

Thus,  $c = 1$  and  $b = (n+1)/n$ . The UMVUE of  $\theta$  is then

$$
h(X_{(n)}) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \le X_{(n)} \le 1 \\ (1+n^{-1})X_{(n)} & X_{(n)} > 1. \end{cases}
$$

beamer-tu-logo This estimator is better than  $(1+n^{-1})X_{(n)},$  which is the UMVUE when  $\Theta = (0, \infty)$  and does not make use of the information about  $\theta \ge 1$ . When  $\Theta = (0, \infty)$ , this estimator is not unbiase[d.](#page-14-0)