

Lecture 34: Properties of the LSE

The following results explain why the LSE is popular.

Gauss-Markov Theorem

Assume a general linear model previously described: $Y = X\beta + \mathcal{E}$ with assumption A2, i.e., $\text{Var}(\mathcal{E}) = \sigma^2 I_n$ and X is of full rank $p < n$. Let $\hat{\beta}$ be the LSE and $l \in \mathcal{R}^p$ be a fixed vector. Then the $l'\hat{\beta}$ is the *best linear unbiased estimator* (BLUE) of $l'\beta$ in the sense that it has the minimum variance in the class of unbiased estimators of $l'\beta$ that are linear functions of Y .

Proof.

Since $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y$, it is a linear function of Y and

$$E(\hat{\beta}) = (X'X)^{-1}X'E(Y) = (X'X)^{-1}X'X\beta = \beta$$

Thus, $l'\hat{\beta}$ is unbiased for $l'\beta$.

Let $c'Y$ be any linear unbiased estimator of $l'\beta$, where $c \in \mathcal{R}^p$ is a fixed vector.

Since $c'Y$ is unbiased, $E(c'Y) = c'E(Y) = c'X\beta = l'\beta$ for all β , which implies that $c'X = l'$, i.e., $l = X'c$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(c'Y) &= \text{Var}(c'Y - l'\hat{\beta} + l'\hat{\beta}) \\ &= \text{Var}(c'Y - l'\hat{\beta}) + \text{Var}(l'\hat{\beta}) \\ &\quad + 2\text{Cov}(c'Y - l'\hat{\beta}, l'\hat{\beta}) \\ &= \text{Var}(c'Y - l'\hat{\beta}) + \text{Var}(l'\hat{\beta}) \\ &\geq \text{Var}(l'\hat{\beta})\end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(c'Y - l'\hat{\beta}, l'\hat{\beta}) &= \text{Cov}(c'Y - l'(X'X)^{-1}X'Y, l'(X'X)^{-1}X'Y) \\ &= \text{Cov}(c'Y, l'(X'X)^{-1}X'Y) - \text{Var}(l'(X'X)^{-1}X'Y) \\ &= c'\text{Var}(Y)X(X'X)^{-1}l - l'(X'X)^{-1}X'\text{Var}(Y)X(X'X)^{-1}l \\ &= \sigma^2 c'X(X'X)^{-1}l - \sigma^2 l'(X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1}l \\ &= \sigma^2 l'(X'X)^{-1}l - \sigma^2 l'(X'X)^{-1}l \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

An unbiased estimator of σ^2

Because the LSE $\hat{\beta}$ satisfies $X'X\hat{\beta} = X'Y$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|Y - X\beta\|^2 &= \|Y - X\hat{\beta} + X(\hat{\beta} - \beta)\|^2 + 2(\hat{\beta} - \beta)'X'(Y - X\hat{\beta}) \\ &= \|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2 + \|X\hat{\beta} - X\beta\|^2\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}E\|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2 &= E\|Y - X\beta\|^2 - E\|X\hat{\beta} - X\beta\|^2 \\ &= E(Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta) - E(\beta - \hat{\beta})'X'X(\beta - \hat{\beta}) \\ &= \text{trace}\left(\text{Var}(Y) - \text{Var}(X\hat{\beta})\right) \\ &= \sigma^2 \left[\text{trace}(I_n) - \text{trace}\left(X(X'X)^{-1}X'\text{Var}(Y)X(X'X)^{-1}X'\right) \right] \\ &= \sigma^2 \left[n - \text{trace}\left(X(X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1}X'\right) \right] \\ &= \sigma^2 \left[n - \text{trace}\left((X'X)^{-1}X'X\right) \right] \\ &= \sigma^2(n - p)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, an unbiased estimator of σ^2 is $\|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2/(n - p)$.

Residual and SSR

The i th component of the n -dimensional vector $Y - X\hat{\beta}$ is $Y_i - x_i'\hat{\beta}$, which is called the i th residual.

The vector $Y - X\hat{\beta}$ is then called the residual vector and $\|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2$ is the sum of squared residuals and denoted by SSR.

The unbiased estimator of σ^2 we derived is then equal to $\text{SSR}/(n-p)$.

Examples.

- Since simple linear regression is a special case of the general linear model, the SSR defined here is the same as the SSR defined in simple linear regression.
- In the case of one-way ANOVA, the LSE $(\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_k)'$ and

$$\text{SSR} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_i)^2$$

- In the case of two-way balanced ANOVA, if $c > 1$, then

$$\text{SSR} = \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{k=1}^c (Y_{ijk} - \bar{Y}_{ij.})^2$$

Residual and SSR

The i th component of the n -dimensional vector $Y - X\hat{\beta}$ is $Y_i - x_i'\hat{\beta}$, which is called the i th residual.

The vector $Y - X\hat{\beta}$ is then called the residual vector and $\|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2$ is the sum of squared residuals and denoted by SSR.

The unbiased estimator of σ^2 we derived is then equal to $\text{SSR}/(n-p)$.

Examples.

- Since simple linear regression is a special case of the general linear model, the SSR defined here is the same as the SSR defined in simple linear regression.
- In the case of one-way ANOVA, the LSE $(\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_k)'$ and

$$\text{SSR} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_i)^2$$

- In the case of two-way balanced ANOVA, if $c > 1$, then

$$\text{SSR} = \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{k=1}^c (Y_{ijk} - \bar{Y}_{ij.})^2$$

The result for the two-way ANOVA can be seen as follows.

$$E(Y_{ijk}) = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}$$

Hence, the LSE of $E(Y_{ijk})$, which is a linear function of regression parameters, is

$$\hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_j + \hat{\gamma}_{ij} = \bar{Y}_{ij.}$$

Thus, the (i, j, k) th residual is $Y_{ijk} - \bar{Y}_{ij.}$

The residual vector, however, is 0 when $c = 1$.

Correlation between the LSE and the residual vector

The LSE and the residual vector is always uncorrelated, because

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}, Y - X\hat{\beta}) &= \text{Cov}\left((X'X)^{-1}X'Y, [I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X']Y\right) \\ &= (X'X)^{-1}X'\text{Var}(Y)[I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] \\ &= \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}X'[I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] \\ &= \sigma^2[(X'X)^{-1}X' - (X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1}X'] \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

We now consider distributions of the LSE and SSR under normality.

The result for the two-way ANOVA can be seen as follows.

$$E(Y_{ijk}) = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}$$

Hence, the LSE of $E(Y_{ijk})$, which is a linear function of regression parameters, is

$$\hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_j + \hat{\gamma}_{ij} = \bar{Y}_{ij.}$$

Thus, the (i, j, k) th residual is $Y_{ijk} - \bar{Y}_{ij.}$

The residual vector, however, is 0 when $c = 1$.

Correlation between the LSE and the residual vector

The LSE and the residual vector is always uncorrelated, because

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}, Y - X\hat{\beta}) &= \text{Cov}\left((X'X)^{-1}X'Y, [I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X']Y\right) \\ &= (X'X)^{-1}X'\text{Var}(Y)[I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] \\ &= \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}X'[I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] \\ &= \sigma^2[(X'X)^{-1}X' - (X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1}X'] \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

We now consider distributions of the LSE and SSR under normality.

The result for the two-way ANOVA can be seen as follows.

$$E(Y_{ijk}) = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}$$

Hence, the LSE of $E(Y_{ijk})$, which is a linear function of regression parameters, is

$$\hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_j + \hat{\gamma}_{ij} = \bar{Y}_{ij.}$$

Thus, the (i, j, k) th residual is $Y_{ijk} - \bar{Y}_{ij.}$

The residual vector, however, is 0 when $c = 1$.

Correlation between the LSE and the residual vector

The LSE and the residual vector is always uncorrelated, because

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}, Y - X\hat{\beta}) &= \text{Cov}\left((X'X)^{-1}X'Y, [I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X']Y\right) \\ &= (X'X)^{-1}X'\text{Var}(Y)[I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] \\ &= \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}X'[I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] \\ &= \sigma^2[(X'X)^{-1}X' - (X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1}X'] \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

We now consider distributions of the LSE and SSR under normality.

Theorem.

Consider the general linear model $Y = X\beta + \mathcal{E}$ with assumption A1, i.e., $\mathcal{E} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$, where $\sigma^2 > 0$ is unknown.

- (i) The LSE $\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1})$ and $l'\hat{\beta}$ is the UMVUE of $l'\beta$ for any $l \in \mathcal{R}^p$.
- (ii) SSR/σ^2 has the central chi-square distribution with degrees of freedom $n - p$ and the UMVUE of σ^2 is $SSR/(n - p)$.
- (iii) SSR and $\hat{\beta}$ are independent.
- (iv) The MLE of β and σ^2 are respectively $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2 = SSR/n$.

Proof.

The result $\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1})$ follows from the fact that $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y$ is a linear function of $Y \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n)$ and $E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$, $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}$.

The joint pdf of Y is

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta)}{2\sigma^2}\right\} = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\|Y - X\beta\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2 + \|X\hat{\beta} - X\beta\|^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2 + \|X\hat{\beta}\|^2 + \|X\beta\|^2 - 2\beta'X'X\hat{\beta}}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp \left\{ \frac{\beta'X'Y}{\sigma^2} - \frac{\|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2 + \|X\hat{\beta}\|^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{\|X\beta\|^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

This pdf is from an exponential family with $(X'Y, \|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2 + \|X\hat{\beta}\|^2)$ as a complete and sufficient statistic for (β, σ^2) .

Since $X\hat{\beta} = X(X'X)^{-1}X'Y$ is a function of $X'Y$ with X considered as fixed, $(X'Y, \|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2)$ is complete and sufficient.

Since $l'\hat{\beta}$ is unbiased for $l'\beta$ and $\hat{\beta}$ is a function of a complete and sufficient statistic, $l'\hat{\beta}$ is the UMVUE of $l'\beta$.

This completes the proof of (i).

We have already shown that $SSR/(n-p)$ is unbiased for σ^2 .

Since $SSR = \|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2$ is a function of a complete and sufficient statistic, it is the UMVUE of σ^2 .

From

$$Y'Y = Y'[X(X'X)^{-1}X']Y + Y'[I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X']Y$$

and the fact that the rank of $X(X'X)^{-1}X'$ is p and the rank of $I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'$ is $n-p$, by Cochran's theorem, SSR/σ^2 has the chi-square distribution with degrees of freedom $n-p$ and noncentrality parameter

$$\sigma^{-2}\beta'X'[I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X']X\beta = \sigma^2\beta'(X'X - X'X) = 0$$

This proves (ii).

Previously we showed that the LSE $\hat{\beta}$ and the residual vector $Y - X\hat{\beta}$ are uncorrelated.

Since both of them are linear functions of Y , they are independent and, thus, their functions $\hat{\beta}$ and $SSR = \|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2$ are independent.

The proof of (iii) is completed.

The log likelihood function is

$$\ell(\beta, \sigma^2) = -\frac{\|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2 + \|X\hat{\beta} - X\beta\|^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2)$$

It is clear that $\hat{\beta}$ maximizes this function over $\beta \in \mathcal{R}^p$.

To maximize $\ell(\hat{\beta}, \sigma^2)$ over $\sigma^2 > 0$, we obtain that the MLE of σ^2 is $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2/n = \text{SSR}/n$.

This finishes the proof of (iv).

Fisher information matrix

To derive the Fisher information matrix about (β, σ^2) , we differentiate the log likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\beta, \sigma^2) &= -\frac{\|Y - X\beta\|^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2), & \frac{\partial \ell(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta} &= \frac{X'(Y - X\beta)}{\sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial \ell(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2} &= \frac{\|Y - X\beta\|^2}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{n}{2\sigma^2}, & \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} &= -\frac{X'X}{\sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$

The log likelihood function is

$$\ell(\beta, \sigma^2) = -\frac{\|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2 + \|X\hat{\beta} - X\beta\|^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2)$$

It is clear that $\hat{\beta}$ maximizes this function over $\beta \in \mathcal{R}^p$.

To maximize $\ell(\hat{\beta}, \sigma^2)$ over $\sigma^2 > 0$, we obtain that the MLE of σ^2 is $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2/n = \text{SSR}/n$.

This finishes the proof of (iv).

Fisher information matrix

To derive the Fisher information matrix about (β, σ^2) , we differentiate the log likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\beta, \sigma^2) &= -\frac{\|Y - X\beta\|^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2), & \frac{\partial \ell(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta} &= \frac{X'(Y - X\beta)}{\sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial \ell(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2} &= \frac{\|Y - X\beta\|^2}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{n}{2\sigma^2}, & \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} &= -\frac{X'X}{\sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^4} = -\frac{\|Y - X\beta\|^2}{\sigma^6} + \frac{n}{2\sigma^4}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta \partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{X'(Y - X\beta)}{\sigma^4}$$

$$E \left[\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} \right] = -\frac{X'X}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^4} \right] &= -\frac{E\|Y - X\beta\|^2}{\sigma^6} + \frac{n}{2\sigma^4} \\ &= -\frac{\text{trace}[\text{Var}(Y)]}{\sigma^6} + \frac{n}{2\sigma^4} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma^4} \end{aligned}$$

$$E \left[\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta \partial \sigma^2} \right] = -\frac{X'E(Y - X\beta)}{\sigma^4} = 0$$

Thus, the Fisher information matrix is

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \begin{pmatrix} X'X & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{n}{2\sigma^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

The UMVUE $I' \hat{\beta}$ attains the information lower bound, whereas the UMVUE of σ^2 does not attain the information lower bound, since the variance of $\text{SSR}/(n-p)$ is $2\sigma^4/(n-p)$.