

Asymptotic Theory for Model Selection

Jun Shao

Department of Statistics
University of Wisconsin
Madison, WI 53706, USA

Reference: Shao (1997, Statistica Sinica, pp. 221-264)

Responses and covariates

$\mathbf{y}_n = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$: independent responses

$\mathbf{X}_n = (\mathbf{x}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}'_n)'$: an $n \times p_n$ matrix whose i th row \mathbf{x}_i is the value of a p_n -dimensional covariate associated with y_i

We are interested in the relationship between \mathbf{y}_n and \mathbf{X}_n through

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_n = E(\mathbf{y}_n | \mathbf{X}_n)$$

We may be interested in inference on $\boldsymbol{\mu}_n$

Model/Variable selection

A class of models, indexed by $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n$, is proposed for $E(\mathbf{y}_n | \mathbf{X}_n)$

If \mathcal{A}_n contains more than one model, then we need to select a model from \mathcal{A}_n using the observed \mathbf{y}_n and \mathbf{X}_n

If each α corresponds to an $n \times p_n(\alpha)$ sub-matrix of \mathbf{X}_n , then model selection is also called variable selection

Responses and covariates

$\mathbf{y}_n = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$: independent responses

$\mathbf{X}_n = (\mathbf{x}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}'_n)'$: an $n \times p_n$ matrix whose i th row \mathbf{x}_i is the value of a p_n -dimensional covariate associated with y_i

We are interested in the relationship between \mathbf{y}_n and \mathbf{X}_n through

$$\mu_n = E(\mathbf{y}_n | \mathbf{X}_n)$$

We may be interested in inference on μ_n

Model/Variable selection

A class of models, indexed by $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n$, is proposed for $E(\mathbf{y}_n | \mathbf{X}_n)$

If \mathcal{A}_n contains more than one model, then we need to select a model from \mathcal{A}_n using the observed \mathbf{y}_n and \mathbf{X}_n

If each α corresponds to an $n \times p_n(\alpha)$ sub-matrix of \mathbf{X}_n , then model selection is also called variable selection

Example 1. Linear regression

- $p_n = p$ for all n
- $\mu_n = \mathbf{X}_n \beta$
- $\beta = (\beta'_1, \beta'_2)'$, $\mathbf{X}_n = (\mathbf{X}_{n1}, \mathbf{X}_{n2})$
 - It is suspected that $\beta_2 = 0$ (\mathbf{X}_{n2} is unrelated to \mathbf{y}_n)
 - Model 1: $\mu_n = \mathbf{X}_{n1} \beta_1$
 - Model 2: $\mu_n = \mathbf{X}_n \beta$
 - $\mathcal{A}_n = \{1, 2\}$
 - Model 1 is better if $\beta_2 = 0$
- In general, $\mathcal{A}_n =$ all subsets of $\{1, \dots, p\}$
 - Model α : $\mu_n = \mathbf{X}_n(\alpha) \beta(\alpha)$
 - $\beta(\alpha)$: sub-vector of β with indices in α
 - $\mathbf{X}_n(\alpha)$: the corresponding sub-matrix of \mathbf{X}_n
 - The number of models in \mathcal{A}_n is 2^p
- Approximation to a response surface
 - The i th row of $\mathbf{X}_n(\alpha_h) = (1, t_i, t_i^2, \dots, t_i^h)$, $t_i \in \mathcal{R}$
 - $\alpha_h = \{1, \dots, h\}$: a polynomial of order h
 - $\mathcal{A}_n = \{\alpha_h : h = 0, 1, \dots, p_n\}$

Example 2. 1-mean vs p -mean

- $n = pr$, $p = p_n$, $r = r_n$
- There are p groups, each has r identically distributed observations
- Select one model from two models
 - 1-mean model: all groups have the same mean μ_1
 - p -mean model: p groups have different means μ_1, \dots, μ_p
- $\mathcal{A}_n = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_p\}$

$$\mathbf{X}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_r & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{1}_r & \mathbf{1}_r & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{1}_r & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}_r & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mathbf{1}_r & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{1}_r \end{pmatrix} \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 - \mu_1 \\ \mu_3 - \mu_1 \\ \cdots \\ \mu_p - \mu_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_n(\alpha_p) = \mathbf{X}_n \quad \beta(\alpha_p) = \beta$$

$$\mathbf{X}_n(\alpha_1) = \mathbf{1}_n \quad \beta(\alpha_1) = \mu_1$$

Criterion for Model Selection

- μ_n is estimated by $\hat{\mu}_n(\alpha)$ under model α
- Minimize the squared error loss

$$L_n(\alpha) = \frac{\|\mu_n - \hat{\mu}_n(\alpha)\|^2}{n} \quad \text{over } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n$$

Equivalent to minimizing the average prediction error

$$\frac{E[\|\mathbf{z}_n - \hat{\mu}_n(\alpha)\|^2 \mid \mathbf{y}_n]}{n} \quad \text{over } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n$$

\mathbf{z}_n : a future independent copy of \mathbf{y}_n

- Optimal model α_n^L :

$$L_n(\alpha_n^L) = \min_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} L_n(\alpha)$$

α_n^L may be random

Assessment of Model Selection Procedures

- $\hat{\alpha}_n$: a model selected based on a model selection procedure
- The selection procedure is consistent if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{\hat{\alpha}_n = \alpha_n^L\} = 1$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{L_n(\hat{\alpha}_n) = L_n(\alpha_n^L)\} = 1$$

$\hat{\mu}_n(\alpha_n)$ is asymptotically efficient, i.e., it is asymptotically as efficient as $\hat{\mu}_n(\alpha_n^L)$

The two results are the same if $L_n(\alpha)$ has a unique minimum for all large n

- The selection procedure is asymptotically loss efficient if

$$L_n(\hat{\alpha}_n)/L_n(\alpha_n^L) \rightarrow_p 1$$

which is weaker than consistency

Model Selection Procedures

Methods for fixed p or $p_n/n \rightarrow 0$

- Information criterion
 - AIC (Akaike, 1970), C_p (Mallows, 1973), BIC (Schwarz, 1978)
 - FPE_λ (Shibata, 1984)
 - GIC (Nishii, 1984, Rao and Wu, 1989, Potscher, 1989)
- Cross-Validation (CV)
 - Delete-1 CV (Allen, 1974, Stone, 1974)
 - GCV (Craven and Wahba, 1979)
 - Delete-d CV (Geisser, 1975, Burman, 1986, Shao, 1993)
- Bootstrap (Efron, 1983, Shao, 1996)
- Methods for Time Series
 - PMDL and PLS (Rissanen, 1986, Wei, 1992)
- LASSO (Tibshirani, 1996)
- Methods after 1997?
- Thresholding
- Methods for $p_n/n \not\rightarrow 0$?

Asymptotic Theory for GIC

The GIC in linear models

Consider linear models

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_n = \mathbf{X}_n(\alpha)\boldsymbol{\beta}(\alpha) \quad \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n$$

- \mathbf{X}_n is of full rank ($p_n < n$)
- $\mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{y}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_n$ has iid components, $V(\mathbf{e}_n|\mathbf{X}_n) = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}_n$
- Under model α , $\boldsymbol{\beta}(\alpha)$ is estimated by the LSE
- $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_n(\alpha) = \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha)\mathbf{y}_n$, $\mathbf{H}_n(\alpha) = \mathbf{X}_n(\alpha)[\mathbf{X}_n(\alpha)'\mathbf{X}_n(\alpha)]^{-1}\mathbf{X}_n(\alpha)$
- Correct models

$$\mathcal{A}_n^c = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n : \boldsymbol{\mu}_n = \mathbf{X}_n(\alpha)\boldsymbol{\beta}(\alpha) \text{ is true} \}$$

Wrong models

$$\mathcal{A}_n^w = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n : \alpha \notin \mathcal{A}_n^c \}$$

- The loss is equal to

$$L_n(\alpha) = \Delta_n(\alpha) + \mathbf{e}_n'\mathbf{H}_n(\alpha)\mathbf{e}_n/n$$

$$\Delta_n(\alpha) = \|\boldsymbol{\mu}_n - \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha)\boldsymbol{\mu}_n\|^2/n \quad (= 0 \text{ if } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n^c)$$

The GIC

A model $\hat{\alpha}_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$ is selected by minimizing

$$\Gamma_{n,\lambda_n}(\alpha) = \frac{S_n(\alpha)}{n} + \frac{\lambda_n \hat{\sigma}_n^2 p_n(\alpha)}{n} \quad \text{over } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n$$

$S_n(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{y}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_n(\alpha)\|^2$ (measuring goodness-of-fit)

$p_n(\alpha)$: dimension of α

λ_n : non-random positive penalty

$\hat{\sigma}_n^2$: an estimator of σ^2 , e.g., $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \|\mathbf{y}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_n\|^2 / (n - p_n)$

- If $\lambda_n = 2$, this is the C_p method
- If $\lambda_n = \lambda$, a constant larger than 2, this is the FPE_λ method
- If $\lambda_n = \log n$, this is almost the BIC
- In general, λ_n can be any sequence with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$
- If $\lambda_n = 2$, the GIC is asymptotically equivalent to the delete-1 CV and GCV
- If $\lambda_n = n/(n - d)$, then the GIC is asymptotically equivalent to the delete-d CV.

Is the GIC asymptotically loss efficient or consistent?

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{S_n(\alpha)}{n} &= \frac{\|\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha)\mathbf{y}_n\|^2}{n} = \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\mu}_n - \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha)\boldsymbol{\mu}_n + \mathbf{e}_n - \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha)\mathbf{e}_n\|^2}{n} \\ &= \Delta_n(\alpha) + \frac{\|\mathbf{e}_n\|^2}{n} - \frac{\mathbf{e}_n' \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha) \mathbf{e}_n}{n} + \frac{2\mathbf{e}_n' [\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha)] \boldsymbol{\mu}_n}{n}\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n^c$$

$$[\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha)]\boldsymbol{\mu}_n = \mathbf{X}_n(\alpha)\boldsymbol{\beta}(\alpha) - \mathbf{X}_n(\alpha)\boldsymbol{\beta}(\alpha) = 0$$

$$\Delta_n(\alpha) = 0$$

$$L_n(\alpha) = \Delta_n(\alpha) + \mathbf{e}_n' \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha) \mathbf{e}_n / n = \mathbf{e}_n' \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha) \mathbf{e}_n / n$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{n,\lambda_n}(\alpha) &= \frac{S_n(\alpha)}{n} + \frac{\lambda_n \hat{\sigma}_n^2 p_n(\alpha)}{n} = \frac{\|\mathbf{e}_n\|^2}{n} - \frac{\mathbf{e}_n' \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha) \mathbf{e}_n}{n} + \frac{\lambda_n \hat{\sigma}_n^2 p_n(\alpha)}{n} \\ &= \frac{\|\mathbf{e}_n\|^2}{n} + L_n(\alpha) + \frac{\lambda_n \hat{\sigma}_n^2 p_n(\alpha)}{n} - \frac{2\mathbf{e}_n' \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha) \mathbf{e}_n}{n}\end{aligned}$$

Is the GIC asymptotically loss efficient or consistent?

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{S_n(\alpha)}{n} &= \frac{\|\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha)\mathbf{y}_n\|^2}{n} = \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\mu}_n - \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha)\boldsymbol{\mu}_n + \mathbf{e}_n - \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha)\mathbf{e}_n\|^2}{n} \\ &= \Delta_n(\alpha) + \frac{\|\mathbf{e}_n\|^2}{n} - \frac{\mathbf{e}_n' \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha) \mathbf{e}_n}{n} + \frac{2\mathbf{e}_n' [\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha)] \boldsymbol{\mu}_n}{n}\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n^c$$

$$[\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha)]\boldsymbol{\mu}_n = \mathbf{X}_n(\alpha)\boldsymbol{\beta}(\alpha) - \mathbf{X}_n(\alpha)\boldsymbol{\beta}(\alpha) = 0$$

$$\Delta_n(\alpha) = 0$$

$$L_n(\alpha) = \Delta_n(\alpha) + \mathbf{e}_n' \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha) \mathbf{e}_n / n = \mathbf{e}_n' \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha) \mathbf{e}_n / n$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{n,\lambda_n}(\alpha) &= \frac{S_n(\alpha)}{n} + \frac{\lambda_n \hat{\sigma}_n^2 p_n(\alpha)}{n} = \frac{\|\mathbf{e}_n\|^2}{n} - \frac{\mathbf{e}_n' \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha) \mathbf{e}_n}{n} + \frac{\lambda_n \hat{\sigma}_n^2 p_n(\alpha)}{n} \\ &= \frac{\|\mathbf{e}_n\|^2}{n} + L_n(\alpha) + \frac{\lambda_n \hat{\sigma}_n^2 p_n(\alpha)}{n} - \frac{2\mathbf{e}_n' \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha) \mathbf{e}_n}{n}\end{aligned}$$

When $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}_n^c$

- $\alpha_n^L = \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n^c$ with the smallest $p_n(\alpha)$

$$\Gamma_{n,\lambda_n}(\alpha) = \frac{\|\mathbf{e}_n\|^2}{n} + L_n(\alpha) + \frac{\lambda_n \hat{\sigma}_n^2 p_n(\alpha)}{n} - \frac{2\mathbf{e}'_n \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha) \mathbf{e}_n}{n}$$

- If $\lambda_n = 2$ (the C_p method, AIC, delete-1 CV, or GCV), the term

$$\frac{2\hat{\sigma}_n^2 p_n(\alpha)}{n} - \frac{2\mathbf{e}'_n \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha) \mathbf{e}_n}{n}$$

is of the same order as $L_n(\alpha) = \mathbf{e}'_n \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha) \mathbf{e}_n / n$ unless $p_n(\alpha) \rightarrow \infty$ for all but one model in \mathcal{A}_n^c

- Under some conditions, the GIC with $\lambda_n = 2$ is asymptotically loss efficient if and only if \mathcal{A}_n^c does not contain two models with fixed dimensions
- If $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$, the dominating term in $\Gamma_{n,\lambda_n}(\alpha)$ is $\lambda_n \hat{\sigma}_n^2 p_n(\alpha) / n$
The GIC selects a model by minimizing $p_n(\alpha)$
Hence, the GIC is consistent
- The case of $\lambda_n = \lambda$ is similar to the case of $\lambda_n = 2$

When $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}_n^W$

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{n,\lambda_n}(\alpha) &= \frac{\|\mathbf{e}_n\|^2}{n} + \Delta_n(\alpha) - \frac{\mathbf{e}_n' \mathbf{H}_n(\alpha) \mathbf{e}_n}{n} + \frac{\lambda_n \widehat{\sigma}_n^2 p_n(\alpha)}{n} + O_P\left(\frac{\Delta_n(\alpha)}{n}\right) \\ &= \frac{\|\mathbf{e}_n\|^2}{n} + L_n(\alpha) + O_P\left(\frac{\lambda_n p_n(\alpha)}{n}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{L_n(\alpha)}{n}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Assume that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n^W} \Delta_n(\alpha) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\lambda_n p_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$$

(The first condition implies that a wrong model is always worse than a correct model)

Then

$$\Gamma_{n,\lambda_n}(\alpha) = \frac{\|\mathbf{e}_n\|^2}{n} + L_n(\alpha) + o_P(L_n(\alpha))$$

Minimizing $\Gamma_{n,\lambda_n}(\alpha)$ is asymptotically the same as minimizing $L_n(\alpha)$

Hence, the GIC is asymptotically loss efficient

The GIC can select the best model in \mathcal{A}_n^W

Conclusions (under the given conditions)

According to their asymptotic behavior, the model selection methods can be classified into three classes

- (1) The GIC with $\lambda_n = 2$, C_p , AIC, delete-1 CV, GCV
- (2) The GIC with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$, delete-d CV with $d/n \rightarrow 1$, BIC, PMDL, PLS
 $\lambda_n p_n/n \rightarrow 0$
- (3) The GIC with $\lambda_n = \lambda$, delete-d CV with $d/n \rightarrow \tau \in (0, 1)$

Key properties

- Methods in class (1) are useful when there is no fixed-dimension correct model
- Methods in class (2) are useful when there are fixed-dimension correct models
- Methods in class (3) are compromises and are not recommended

Conclusions (under the given conditions)

According to their asymptotic behavior, the model selection methods can be classified into three classes

- (1) The GIC with $\lambda_n = 2$, C_p , AIC, delete-1 CV, GCV
- (2) The GIC with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$, delete-d CV with $d/n \rightarrow 1$, BIC, PMDL, PLS
 $\lambda_n p_n/n \rightarrow 0$
- (3) The GIC with $\lambda_n = \lambda$, delete-d CV with $d/n \rightarrow \tau \in (0, 1)$

Key properties

- Methods in class (1) are useful when there is no fixed-dimension correct model
- Methods in class (2) are useful when there are fixed-dimension correct models
- Methods in class (3) are compromises and are not recommended

Example 2. 1-mean vs p -mean

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_p\}$$

p_n groups, each with r_n observations

$$\Delta_n(\alpha_p) = \sum_{j=1}^p (\mu_j - \bar{\mu})^2 / p, \quad \bar{\mu} = \sum_{j=1}^p \mu_j / p$$

$n = p_n r_n \rightarrow \infty$ means that either $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ or $r_n \rightarrow \infty$

1. $p_n = p$ is fixed and $r_n \rightarrow \infty$

- The dimensions of correct models are fixed
- The GIC with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda_n/n \rightarrow 0$ is consistent
- The GIC with $\lambda_n = 2$ is inconsistent

2. $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $r_n = r$ is fixed

- Only one correct model has a fixed dimension
- The GIC with $\lambda_n = 2$ is consistent
- The GIC with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ is inconsistent, because $\lambda_n p_n / n = \lambda_n / r \rightarrow \infty$

3. $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $r_n \rightarrow \infty$

- Only one correct model has a fixed dimension
- The GIC is consistent, provided that $\lambda_n / r_n \rightarrow 0$

Example 2. 1-mean vs p -mean

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_p\}$$

p_n groups, each with r_n observations

$$\Delta_n(\alpha_p) = \sum_{j=1}^p (\mu_j - \bar{\mu})^2 / p, \quad \bar{\mu} = \sum_{j=1}^p \mu_j / p$$

$n = p_n r_n \rightarrow \infty$ means that either $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ or $r_n \rightarrow \infty$

1. $p_n = p$ is fixed and $r_n \rightarrow \infty$

- The dimensions of correct models are fixed
- The GIC with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda_n/n \rightarrow 0$ is consistent
- The GIC with $\lambda_n = 2$ is inconsistent

2. $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $r_n = r$ is fixed

- Only one correct model has a fixed dimension
- The GIC with $\lambda_n = 2$ is consistent
- The GIC with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ is inconsistent, because $\lambda_n p_n / n = \lambda_n / r \rightarrow \infty$

3. $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $r_n \rightarrow \infty$

- Only one correct model has a fixed dimension
- The GIC is consistent, provided that $\lambda_n / r_n \rightarrow 0$

Example 2. 1-mean vs p -mean

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_p\}$$

p_n groups, each with r_n observations

$$\Delta_n(\alpha_p) = \sum_{j=1}^p (\mu_j - \bar{\mu})^2 / p, \quad \bar{\mu} = \sum_{j=1}^p \mu_j / p$$

$n = p_n r_n \rightarrow \infty$ means that either $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ or $r_n \rightarrow \infty$

1. $p_n = p$ is fixed and $r_n \rightarrow \infty$

- The dimensions of correct models are fixed
- The GIC with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda_n/n \rightarrow 0$ is consistent
- The GIC with $\lambda_n = 2$ is inconsistent

2. $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $r_n = r$ is fixed

- Only one correct model has a fixed dimension
- The GIC with $\lambda_n = 2$ is consistent
- The GIC with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ is inconsistent, because $\lambda_n p_n / n = \lambda_n / r \rightarrow \infty$

3. $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $r_n \rightarrow \infty$

- Only one correct model has a fixed dimension
- The GIC is consistent, provided that $\lambda_n / r_n \rightarrow 0$

Example 2. 1-mean vs p -mean

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_p\}$$

p_n groups, each with r_n observations

$$\Delta_n(\alpha_p) = \sum_{j=1}^p (\mu_j - \bar{\mu})^2 / p, \quad \bar{\mu} = \sum_{j=1}^p \mu_j / p$$

$n = p_n r_n \rightarrow \infty$ means that either $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ or $r_n \rightarrow \infty$

1. $p_n = p$ is fixed and $r_n \rightarrow \infty$

- The dimensions of correct models are fixed
- The GIC with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda_n/n \rightarrow 0$ is consistent
- The GIC with $\lambda_n = 2$ is inconsistent

2. $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $r_n = r$ is fixed

- Only one correct model has a fixed dimension
- The GIC with $\lambda_n = 2$ is consistent
- The GIC with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ is inconsistent, because $\lambda_n p_n / n = \lambda_n / r \rightarrow \infty$

3. $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $r_n \rightarrow \infty$

- Only one correct model has a fixed dimension
- The GIC is consistent, provided that $\lambda_n / r_n \rightarrow 0$

Variable Selection by Thresholding

Assumption A

- \mathbf{y}_n is normally distributed
- $\min_{j:\beta_j \neq 0} |\beta_j| > a$ a positive constant, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)$
- $\mathbf{X}'_n \mathbf{X}_n$ is of rank p ($p < n$)
- λ_{in} = the i th eigenvalue of $\mathbf{X}'_n \mathbf{X}_n$, $i = 1, \dots, p$
 $\lambda_{in} = b_i \zeta_n$, $0 < b_i \leq b < \infty$, $0 < \zeta_n \rightarrow \infty$
- $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ but $(\log p_n) / \zeta_n \rightarrow 0$

Thresholding

- $\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'_n \mathbf{X}_n)^{-1} \mathbf{X}'_n \mathbf{y}_n = (\hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p)$ (the LSE)
 $\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}'_n \mathbf{X}_n)^{-1})$
- $a_n = [(\log p_n) / \zeta_n]^\alpha$, $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, $a_n \rightarrow 0$
- Variable x_i is selected if and only if $|\hat{\beta}_i| > a_n$

Variable Selection by Thresholding

Assumption A

- \mathbf{y}_n is normally distributed
- $\min_{j:\beta_j \neq 0} |\beta_j| > a$ a positive constant, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)$
- $\mathbf{X}'_n \mathbf{X}_n$ is of rank p ($p < n$)
- λ_{in} = the i th eigenvalue of $\mathbf{X}'_n \mathbf{X}_n$, $i = 1, \dots, p$
 $\lambda_{in} = b_i \zeta_n$, $0 < b_i \leq b < \infty$, $0 < \zeta_n \rightarrow \infty$
- $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ but $(\log p_n) / \zeta_n \rightarrow 0$

Thresholding

- $\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'_n \mathbf{X}_n)^{-1} \mathbf{X}'_n \mathbf{y}_n = (\hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p)$ (the LSE)
 $\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}'_n \mathbf{X}_n)^{-1})$
- $a_n = [(\log p_n) / \zeta_n]^\alpha$, $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, $a_n \rightarrow 0$
- Variable \mathbf{x}_i is selected if and only if $|\hat{\beta}_i| > a_n$

Asymptotic properties

1. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\beta}_i| \leq a_n \text{ for all } i \text{ with } \beta_i = 0) = 1$
2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\beta}_i| > a_n \text{ for all } i \text{ with } \beta_i \neq 0) = 1$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - P(|\hat{\beta}_i| \leq a_n \text{ for all } i \text{ with } \beta_i = 0) &= P\left(\cup_{i:\beta_i=0} \{|\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_i| > a_n\}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i:\beta_i=0} P\left(\{|\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_i| > a_n\}\right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i:\beta_i=0} \Phi\left(-\frac{a_n}{\tau_i}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i:\beta_i=0} e^{-a_n^2/(2\tau_i^2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\tau_i^2 = \text{var}(\hat{\beta}_i)$$

Asymptotic properties

1. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\beta}_i| \leq a_n \text{ for all } i \text{ with } \beta_i = 0) = 1$
2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\beta}_i| > a_n \text{ for all } i \text{ with } \beta_i \neq 0) = 1$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - P(|\hat{\beta}_i| \leq a_n \text{ for all } i \text{ with } \beta_i = 0) &= P\left(\cup_{i:\beta_i=0} \{|\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_i| > a_n\}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i:\beta_i=0} P\left(\{|\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_i| > a_n\}\right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i:\beta_i=0} \Phi\left(-\frac{a_n}{\tau_i}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i:\beta_i=0} e^{-a_n^2/(2\tau_i^2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\tau_i^2 = \text{var}(\hat{\beta}_i)$$

$\tau_i \leq c\zeta_n^{-1}$ for a constant c

$$\frac{a_n^2}{2\tau_i^2} \geq \frac{a_n^2\zeta_n}{2c} = \frac{1}{2c} \left(\frac{\log p_n}{\zeta_n} \right)^{2\alpha-1} \log p_n \geq M \log p_n$$

for any $M > 0$, since $(\log p_n)/\zeta_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\alpha < 1/2$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - P(|\hat{\beta}_i| \leq a_n \text{ for all } i \text{ with } \beta_i = 0) &\leq \sum_{i:\beta_i=0} e^{-M \log p} \\ &\leq p e^{-M \log p} \\ &= p^{1-M} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

This proves property 1

The proof for property 2 is similar

Topics of Covered in 992

- LASSO and its asymptotic properties
- Nonconcave penalized likelihood method
- Sure independence screening
- High dimensional variable selection by Wasserman and Roeder
- Bayesian model/variable selection
- A review by Fan and Lv
- Others