
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS

The University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 378

June 1974

SOME EXPONENTIALLY DECREASING ERROR BOUNDS
FOR A NUMERICAL INVERSION OF THE
LAPLACE TRANSFORM

By

M. Z. Nashed* and Grace Wahba

* School of Mathematics
Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, Georgia

Department of Statistics
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin

SOME EXPONENTIALLY DECREASING ERROR BOUNDS FOR A
NUMERICAL INVERSION OF THE LAPLACE TRANSFORM

M. Z. Nashed
School of Mathematics
Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, Georgia 30332

and

Grace Wahba
Department of Statistics
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

ABSTRACT

Convergence properties of a class of least-squares methods for finding approximate inverses of the Laplace transform are obtained using reproducing kernel Hilbert space techniques.

SOME EXPONENTIALLY DECREASING ERROR BOUNDS FOR A NUMERICAL INVERSION OF THE LAPLACE TRANSFORM

M. Z. Nashed and Grace Wahba¹

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

We obtain error bounds for certain approximations to the inverse Laplace transform. Suppose

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} f(t) dt = F(s) . \quad (1.1)$$

We wish to construct an approximation $f_n(t)$ to the inverse transform $f(t)$, using $n+1$ values $F(s_i)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ of F . The problem of inversion of the Laplace transform; being an ill-posed problem, gives rise to many interesting and challenging numerical and analytic investigations. The monographs of Bellman, Kalaba, and Lockett [2] and Krylov and Skoblya [4] are devoted to this important problem, where a number of methods are developed. A synopsis of the difficulties and the rationale of various approaches to the numerical inversion of the Laplace transform are given in Bellman [1, Chapter 19]. In the present note we consider only a very simple method used in [2, Chapter 2] and more recently by Schoenberg [7].

We suppose that $f \in L_2(\alpha)$, where $L_2(\alpha)$ is the Hilbert space of real-valued functions on $[0, \infty)$, square integrable with respect to the weight function $w_\alpha(t) = e^{2\alpha t}$

¹Research supported by the United States Air Force under Grant No. AFOSR 72-2363-B.

(α is a fixed constant). Denote the inner product and norm in $L_2(\alpha)$ by

$$(f, g)_\alpha = \int_0^\infty f(t)g(t)e^{2\alpha t} dt, \quad \|f\|_\alpha = (f, f)_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let $s_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ be $n+1$ distinct points in $[0, \infty)$.

Let the approximate solution f_n to (1.1) be the solution to the minimization problem:

Find $f \in L_2(\alpha)$ to minimize $\|f\|_\alpha$ subject to

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-s_i t} f(t) dt = F(s_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n. \quad (1.2)$$

Let the functions ψ_{s_i} be defined by

$$\psi_{s_i}(t) = e^{-(s_i + 2\alpha)t}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Provided $s_0 + \alpha > 0$, $\psi_{s_i} \in L_2(\alpha)$ and the conditions (1.2) may be rewritten

$$(\psi_{s_i}, f)_\alpha = F(s_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n. \quad (1.3)$$

Let Q_n be the Gram matrix of $\psi_{s_i}, i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. The ij^{th} entry of Q_n is given by

$$(\psi_{s_i}, \psi_{s_j})_\alpha = \int_0^\infty e^{-(s_i + s_j + 2\alpha)t} dt = (s_i + s_j + 2\alpha)^{-1}.$$

Thus Q_n is a generalization of a section of a Hilbert matrix, and hence Q_n is nonsingular (see Isaacson and Keller [3, p. 217]). It is easy to show, using (1.3), that the solution

f_n to the minimization problem is in the span of ψ_i ,
 $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, and is given by

$$f_n = (\psi_{s_0}, \psi_{s_1}, \dots, \psi_{s_n}) Q_n^{-1} (F(s_0), F(s_1), \dots, F(s_n))'. \quad (1.4)$$

The ij^{th} entry q^{ij} of Q_n^{-1} is given by the formula:

$$q^{ij} = (s_i + s_j + 2\alpha) A_j(-(s_i + \alpha)) A_j(-(s_j + \alpha)),$$

$$i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

where

$$A_i(x) = \prod_{k \neq i} \frac{s_k + \alpha - x}{s_k - s_i}$$

(see Isaacson and Keller [3, p. 218]).

Schoenberg [7] discusses the case $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$, $s_j = j + 1$,
 $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. He gives the solution to the minimization
 problem (1.2) in the form

$$f_n(t) = S_n(e^{-t})$$

where $S_n(x) = \sum_{v=0}^n c_v P_v(2x - 1)$, $P_v(x)$ being the classical
 Legendre polynomials, and

$$c_v = (2v + 1) \sum_{i=0}^v (-1)^{v+i} \binom{v+i}{v} \binom{v}{i} F(i + 1).$$

2. The Main Result

We now give some $L_2(\alpha)$ -convergence properties of this method and error bounds for $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha(s_{j+1} - s_j)^{-1}$ and $n(s_{j+1} - s_j)$ large.

Theorem. Let f_n be given by (1.4), where $\alpha > 0$, $s_j = \frac{j}{n} T$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, with T a positive number no less than 2α . Suppose $f \in L_2(\alpha)$, and furthermore, has a representation of the form

$$f(t) = e^{-2\alpha t} \int_0^\infty e^{-ts} \rho(s) ds \quad (2.1)$$

where $\int_0^\infty |\rho(s)| ds < \infty$. Then

$$\int_0^\infty [f(t) - f_n(t)]^2 e^{2\alpha t} dt \leq 2\left\{ \frac{e^4}{2\alpha\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{n\alpha}{T} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-2n\alpha/T} (1 + O(\frac{T}{n\alpha})) + \int_T^\infty \int_T^\infty \frac{\rho(s)\rho(t)}{s+t+2\alpha} ds dt \right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Let K be the operator which maps $f \in L_2(\alpha)$ into its Laplace transform:

$$(Kf)(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) dt \equiv F(s), \quad s \geq 0.$$

Using properties of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces (RKHS), for more details see e.g. [6], [8], $K(L_2(\alpha))$ is the RKHS of real-valued functions on $[0, \infty)$ with the reproducing kernel $Q(s, t)$ given by

$$Q(s, t) = (\psi_s, \psi_t)_\alpha = (s+t+2\alpha)^{-1}, \quad 0 \leq s, t < \infty.$$

The condition (2.1) is equivalent to

$$F(s) = \int_0^\infty Q(s, t) \rho(t) dt \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) dt. \quad (2.3)$$

Denote by $Q_x(s)$ the real-valued function of s on $[0, \infty)$ defined by $Q_x(s) = Q(x, s)$. Thus Q_x is the representer of the evaluation functional at x in H_Q . Let

$$F_n(s) = (Q_{s_1}(s), Q_{s_2}(s), \dots, Q_{s_n}(s)) Q_n^{-1} (F(s_1), F(s_2), \dots, F(s_n)).$$

Since $Q_{s_i} = K\psi_{s_i}$, $F_n = Kf_n$ and, furthermore, F_n is the orthogonal projection in H_Q of F onto the subspace of H_Q spanned by the functions Q_{s_i} , $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ (Q_n is the Gram matrix of Q_{s_0}, \dots, Q_{s_n} in H_Q). By the properties of RKHS, and the fact that

$$f \in L_2(\alpha) \text{ and } Kf = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0,$$

there is an isometric isomorphism between $L_2(\alpha)$ and H_Q whereby

$$F \in H_Q \sim f \in L_2(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow F = Kf.$$

Thus

$$\|F - F_n\|_Q = \|f - f_n\|_\alpha,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_Q$ is the norm in H_Q . Thus, the proof will be effected if we show that $\|F - F_n\|_Q^2$ is bounded by the right hand side of (2.2).

Now, it can be shown, either directly, or using the properties of RKHS⁺, that

$$\|F - F_n\|_Q^2 = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho(s)\rho(t)[Q(s,t) - Q_n(s,t)]dsdt$$

where

$$Q_n(s,t) = (Q_{s_0}(s), \dots, Q_{s_n}(s))Q_n^{-1}(Q_{s_0}(t), \dots, Q_{s_n}(t)),$$

and $Q_n(s,t)$ and $E_n(s,t)$ defined by

$$E_n(s,t) = Q(s,t) - Q_n(s,t)$$

are positive definite kernels.

Thus, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \|F - F_n\|_Q^2 &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho(s)\rho(t)E_n(s,t)dsdt \leq 2\left\{\int_0^T \int_0^T \rho(s)\rho(t)E_n(s,t)dsdt + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int_T^\infty \int_T^\infty \rho(s)\rho(t)E_n(s,t)dsdt\right\} \\ &\leq 2\left\{\int_0^T \int_0^T \rho(s)\rho(t)E_n(s,t)dsdt + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int_T^\infty \int_T^\infty Q(s,t)\rho(s)\rho(t)dsdt\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

⁺Recall that $\langle Q_s, Q_t \rangle_Q = Q(s,t)$

$$\leq 2 \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} E_n(s, s) \left[\int_0^T |\rho(s)| ds \right]^2 + 2 \int_T^\infty \int_T^\infty \frac{\rho(s)\rho(t)}{(s+t+2\alpha)} ds dt \right\} ,$$

and, it remains to find a bound on $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} E_n(s, s)$. This is done as follows:

Note that

$$Q(s, t) = \int_0^\infty G(s, u) G(t, u) du$$

where

$$G(s, u) = e^{-(\alpha+s)u} , \quad s, u \geq 0$$

and furthermore

$$E_n(s, s) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^\infty (G(s, u) - \sum_{i=0}^n c_i G(s_i, u))^2 du : c_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 0, \dots, n \right\} ,$$

so that

$$E_n(s, s) \leq \int_0^\infty (G(s, u) - \sum_{i=0}^n c_i G(s_i, u))^2 du , \quad (2.4)$$

for any real c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n .

Let s be fixed, with $s_j \equiv j T/n \leq s < s_{j+1} \equiv (j+1) T/n$, and suppose $j \leq n - (N-1)$, where $N-1$ is the greatest integer in $\alpha n/T$.

Let $G_u(s)$ be the function of s given by

$$G_u(s) = G(s, u) \equiv e^{-(\alpha+s)u} , \quad s, u \geq 0 ,$$

and let $c_i = c_i(s)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, be defined by

$$\sum_{i=0}^n c_i G_u(s_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \rho_{iN}(s) G_u(s_{j+i})$$

where $\rho_{iN}(s)$ is the polynomial of degree $N-1$, which takes on the value 1 at $s = s_{j+i}$ and the value 0 at $s = s_{j+k}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, $k \neq i$. Thus

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \rho_{iN}(s) G_u(s_{j+i})$$

is the Lagrange polynomial in s interpolating to $G_u(s)$ at the points $s_j, s_{j+1}, \dots, s_{j+N-1}$. By the Newton form of the remainder for Lagrange interpolation,

$$G_u(s) - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \rho_{iN}(s) G_u(s_{j+i}) = \frac{1}{N!} (s - s_{j+i}) G_u[s_j, s_{j+1}, \dots, s_{j+N-1}, s], \quad (2.5)$$

where $G_u[s_j, s_{j+1}, \dots, s_{j+N-1}, s]$ is the N^{th} divided difference of $G_u(x)$ at the points $x = s_j, \dots, s_{j+N-1}, s$. Thus, there exists some $\theta \in [s_j, s_{j+N-1}]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} G_u[s_j, s_{j+1}, \dots, s_{j+N-1}, s] &= \frac{1}{N!} \frac{\partial^N}{\partial x^N} G_u(x) \Big|_{x=\theta} \\ &= \frac{u^N}{N!} e^{-(\theta+u)u}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Substituting (2.6) into (2.5), and (2.5) into (2.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_n(s, s) &\leq \left| \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} (s - s_{j+i}) \right|^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{2N}}{(N!)^2} e^{-2(\theta+\alpha)u} du \\
 &= \left| \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} (s - s_{j+i}) \right|^2 \frac{(2N)!}{(N!)^2} [2^{2N+1} (\theta+\alpha)^{2N+1}]^{-1} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} \frac{(2N)!}{(N!)^2 2^{2N}} \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \left[\frac{s_{j+i} - s_j}{\alpha} \right]^2, \quad \text{for } s \in [s_j, s_{j+i}) . \quad (2.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, use $s_{j+i} - s_j = iT/n$, $N-1 \leq \alpha n/T < N$ to obtain

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{(s_{j+i} - s_j)}{\alpha} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{i}{N-1} .$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{i}{N-1} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \log \left(\frac{i}{N-1} \right) \leq (N-1) \int_{\frac{1}{N-1}}^1 \log u \, du = \\
 &= -(N-2) + \log(N-1) ,
 \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{i}{N-1} \leq (N-1) e^{-(N-2)} \leq e^2 \left(\frac{\alpha n}{T} \right) e^{-(\alpha n)/T} .$$

By Stirling's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{(2N)!}{(N!)^2 2^{2N}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi N}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \right) . \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{\alpha n}{T} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{T}{\alpha n}\right) \right) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $s < s_{n-(N-1)}$

$$E_n(s, s) \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} \frac{e^4}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{\alpha n}{T}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-2\alpha n/T} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{T}{\alpha n}\right)\right).$$

The same bound may be obtained for $s \geq s_{n-(N-1)}$ provided $n-(N-1) \geq N-1$, by approximating $G_u(s)$ in (2.6) by the $G_u(s_i)$ with s_i to the left of s . The condition $T \geq 2\alpha$ insures that $n-(N-1) \geq N-1$, and the theorem is proved. ■

3. Extensions

When $\alpha \leq 0$ a similar convergence theorem can be proved, if $s_j = s_0 + \frac{j}{n} T$, where $s_0 + \alpha > 0$. It is necessary to assume that $\int_0^{s_0} |\rho(s)| ds = 0$. Then (2.2) can be shown to hold with the right hand side of (2.2) having α replaced by $\alpha + s_0$, and the lower limits on the double integral $T + s_0$ instead of T . The left hand side has f replaced by f^\dagger , where f^\dagger is that element in $L_2(\alpha)$ of minimal $L_2(\alpha)$ -norm satisfying

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) dt = F(s), \quad s \geq s_0.$$

The modifications in the proof occur by noting the following facts which can be easily established:

- (1) There is an isometric isomorphism between $L^\dagger(\alpha)$ and H_Q where $L^\dagger(\alpha)$ is the quotient space $L(\alpha)/N(K)$, $N(K) = \{f \in L_2(\alpha), \int_0^\infty e^{st} f(t) dt = 0, s \geq s_0\}$,

and H_Q now has the reproducing kernel $Q(s,t)$,
 $s, t \geq s_0$.

(2) The condition $\int_0^{s_0} |\rho(s)| ds = 0$ insures that
 (2.3) holds.

(3) α is replaced by $s_0 + \alpha$ in (2.7) and the subsequent argument; and $N-1$ is the greatest integer
 in $(s_0 + \alpha)n/T$.

Finally we remark that the error bounds and convergence properties of the approximations to the inverse transform rely heavily on the particular kernel associated with the Laplace transform, and are not a special case of other results on regularization and approximation of ill-posed linear operator equations [5], using reproducing kernel space methods.

- [1] R. E. Bellman, "An Introduction to Matrix Analysis," Second Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1970.
- [2] R. E. Bellman, R. E. Kalaba, and J. A. Lockett, "Numerical Inversion of the Laplace Transform," American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1966.
- [3] E. Isaacson and H. B. Keller, "Analysis of Numerical Methods," John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1966.
- [4] V. I. Krylov and N. S. Skoblya, "Handbook of Numerical Inversion of Laplace Transforms," Nauka i tekhnika, Minsk, 1968. English translation, IPST Press, Jerusalem, 1969.
- [5] M. Z. Nashed and Grace Wahba, Generalized inverses in reproducing kernel spaces: An approach to regularization of linear operator equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal., to appear.
- [6] M. Z. Nashed and Grace Wahba, Convergence rates of approximate least squares solutions of linear integral and operator equations of the first kind, Math. Comp. 28 (1974), 69-80.
- [7] I. J. Schoenberg, Remarks concerning a numerical inversion of the Laplace transform due to Bellman, Kalaba, and Lockett, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 43 (1973), 823-828.
- [8] Grace Wahba, Convergence rates for certain approximate solutions to Fredholm integral equations of the first kind, J. Approx. Theory 7 (1973), 167-185.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Technical Report No. 378	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) SOME EXPONENTIALLY DECREASING ERROR BOUNDS FOR A NUMERICAL INVERSION OF THE LAPLACE TRANSFORM		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Scientific Interim
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) M.Z. Nashed and Grace Wahba		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) AFOSR 72-2363B
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE June 1974
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 12
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Air Force Office of Scientific Research 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Laplace transform Exponential error bounds		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Convergence properties of a class of least-squares methods for finding approximate inverses of the Laplace transform are obtained using reproducing kernel Hilbert space techniques.		